

*Iresh*

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CIRCUIT BENCH AT KOLHAPUR  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION  
CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 4424 OF 2025**

Sourabh Sambhaji Patil .....Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra .....Respondent

Mr. Nikhil Pawar a/w Ms. Manasi Patil for the petitioner  
Mr. S. S. Chaudhari APP for the State  
Mr. Swapnil Powar, PSI, Vishrambaug Police Station, Sangli

**CORAM : SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.**

**DATE : 15<sup>th</sup> SEPTEMBER 2025**

**P.C.:**

1. Not on board. Upon mentioning, taken on board.
2. By this petition, petitioner challenges the legality and validity of order dated 11<sup>th</sup> September 2025 passed below Exhibit 77 by the learned Adhoc Dist. Judge-2 and Additional Sessions Judge, Sangli in Sessions Case No. 357 of 2019 whereby the application filed by the petitioner for seeking permission to travel abroad i.e. to Malaysia on 16<sup>th</sup> September 2025 and return on 20<sup>th</sup> September 2025 has been rejected.

3. It is the contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner that there is felicitation of the petitioner at Malaysia. Hence, petitioner wants to go to Malaysia during 17<sup>th</sup> September 2025 to 20<sup>th</sup> September 2025, but the learned Sessions Judge has not considered this fact and rejected the application. He seeks permission to travel abroad i.e. Malaysia from 16<sup>th</sup> September 2025 to 20<sup>th</sup> September 2025 and requested to allow the petition.

4. It is the contention of learned APP that no travel itinerary was produced before the Sessions Court. No invitation and felicitation card is produced on record. The learned Sessions Judge has passed a well reasoned order and no interference is required in it. Hence, requested to reject the petition.

5. I have heard both learned counsel, perused the impugned order. The documents produced on record shows that petitioner intends to travel to Malaysia During 16<sup>th</sup> September 2025 to 20<sup>th</sup> September 2025. He will be returning on 20<sup>th</sup> September 2025 to India.

6. Considering these facts, I pass following order:

**ORDER**

- I. Petition is allowed.
- II. The order dated 11<sup>th</sup> September 2025 passed below Exhibit 77 by the learned Adhoc Dist. Judge-2 and Additional Sessions Judge, Sangli in Sessions Case No. 357 of 2019 is quashed and set aside.
- III. Petitioner is permitted to travel abroad i.e. Malaysia during the period from 16<sup>th</sup> September 2025 to 20<sup>th</sup> September 2025.
- IV. Petitioner shall take permission of the Sessions Court, if he intends to travel abroad in future.
- V. Parties to act upon authenticated copy of this order.
7. Writ Petition stands disposed of in above terms.

**[SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.]**

**IRESH  
MASHAL**

Digitally signed by  
IRESH MASHAL  
Date: 2025.09.15  
18:45:46 +0530