

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIRCUIT BENCH AT KOLHAPUR
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 11117 OF 2025
IN
COMMERCIAL FIRST APPEAL [ST.] NO. 28838 OF 2025
IN
COMMERCIAL SUIT NO. 3 OF 2021

B. Kumaraswamy Reddy.

...Applicant.

Versus

Maharashtra Krlushna Valley Development
Corporation and Others.

...Respondents.

*Mr. Siddhesh Bhole (thr. V.C.), Poras Shah, Darshana Kalamkar i/b SSB Legal and
Advisory for Applicant.*

Mr. B. R. Patil for the Respondents.

Coram : M. S. Karnik &
Ajit B. Kadethankar, JJ.

Date : December 2, 2025.

P. C. :

1. Heard learned Counsel for the Applicant.
2. This is an application for condonation of delay of 73 days caused in filing the appeal.
3. Learned Counsel for Respondents vehemently opposed the application. He submits that application does not disclose sufficient cause for condonation of delay and explanation furnished is frivolous and deserves to be rejected.

4. However, for the reasons mentioned in the application, we are satisfied that delay is unintentional and in the light of oral submissions made by learned Counsel for the Applicant, this is a fit case where delay of 73 days deserves to be condoned. Hence, application is allowed in terms of prayer clause (a).

[Ajit B. Kadethankar, J.]

[M. S. Karnik, J.]