

Harish

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIRCUIT BENCH AT KOLHAPUR
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 2333 OF 2025

HARISH
VITHAL
CHAUDHARI

Krishna @ Satish Shamrao Sasave	...Applicant
Versus	
The State Of Maharashtra and Anr.	...Respondents

Digitally signed
by HARISH
VITHAL
CHAUDHARI
Date:
2025.10.01
17:30:04 +0530

Mr. Ritesh Thobde a/w Mr. Changdev Shingade, Mr. Darshan Singh Rajpurohit, Mr. Mervin Bardeskar for the applicant.
Mr. Pradeep Salgar for respondent no. 2.
Mr. S. S. Chaudhari APP for the State.

CORAM : SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.

DATE : 30th SEPTEMBER, 2025

PC.:

1. Leave to add the first informant as respondent no. 2.
2. Leave to file vakalatnama on behalf of respondent no. 2.
3. By this application the applicant is apprehending arrest in crime no. 355 of 2025 registered with Valsang Police Station, Solapur Rural for offences punishable under Sections 3(5), 140(2), 119(1), 127(3), 115(2), 351(2), 351(3), 352 and 190 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and under Section 39 of Maharashtra Money lending (Regulation) Act, 2014 of the

Indian Penal Code, 1860.

4. It is prosecution's case that on 16th June, 2025 at 7.30 p.m., the applicant and co-accused abducted the first informant and assaulted him on the ground of not paying hand loan amount and detained him at one place.

5. It is contention of learned counsel for the applicant that the applicant has been falsely implicated in this case. The first informant has filed affidavit before this Court stating that the present offence is registered due to misunderstanding. Hence, requested to allow the application.

6. It is contention of learned APP that the applicant and co-accused had abducted the first informant and assaulted him on the ground of not paying hand loan amount and detained him in one room. Considering the allegations against the applicant, his custodial interrogation is required and requested to reject the application.

7. Learned counsel for the intervenor appearing for the first informant submits that the matter is settled out of the Court and he tendered the affidavit of the first informant. It is taken on record.

8. I have heard all the learned counsel, perused the FIR and documents produced on record.

9. The allegations against the applicant are that he along with co-accused abducted the first informant and assaulted him on the ground of not paying hand loan amount and detained him at one room. It is first informant's contention that the said offence was registered due to misunderstanding. Considering these facts, custodial interrogation of the applicant is not required and I pass following order :

O R D E R

- I. Application is allowed
- II. In the event of arrest, applicant be enlarged on bail in C.R. No.355 of 2025 registered with Valsang Police Station, Solapur Rural on furnishing P. R. Bond in the sum of Rs. 20,000/- with one or two sureties in the like amount.
- III. The applicant shall attend the concerned police station as and when required.
- IV. The applicant shall not tamper with the evidence

or attempt to influence or contact the complainant, witnesses or any person concerned with the case.

10. Application is disposed of in above terms.
11. It is made clear that the above observations are made only for the purpose of granting bail and the Trial Court shall decide the case on its own merits in accordance with law and uninfluenced by the observations made in this order.
12. All concerned to act on authenticated copy of this order.

[SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.]