

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.10842 OF 2019

Ashok Nagoji Patil ...Petitioner
vs.
The State of Maharashtra and Ors. ...Respondents

Mr. Aniket Ranade, for the Petitioner.
Mr. Sachin Hande, for Respondent No. 4.
Mrs. Madhubala Kajale, "B" panel AGP for the State.

CORAM : N. J. JAMADAR, J.
DATE : JANUARY 15, 2025

P.C:

1. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner.
2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there are certain documents which were not tendered before the trial Court at the time of deciding the application for temporary injunction. Those documents bear upon the rights and liabilities of the parties.
3. There are concurrent findings of the Courts below that the plaintiff/petitioner has not succeeded in making out a prima facie case. This Court does not find any reason to take a different view of the matter in exercise of supervisory jurisdiction.
4. So far as the submission on behalf of the petitioner that there

are documents which substantiate the case of the plaintiff/
petitioner, the petitioner is at liberty to adopt the remedies as
available for production of those documents before the trial Court.

Petition disposed.

(N. J. JAMADAR, J.)