

Arjun

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.2337 OF 2023

Nikheelchandra Anil Zode & Ors.

...Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Anr.

...Respondents

Digitally
signed by
ARJUN
VITTHAL
KUDHEKAR
Date:
2025.06.20
22:06:01
+0530

Mr. Arjun Kadam, for the Applicants.

Ms. G. S. Rao, APP, for the Respondent-State.

Mr. Rishabh Tiwari i/b Sagar Kasar, for Respondent No.2.

CORAM: MADHAV J. JAMDAR, J.

DATED: 20 JUNE 2025

P.C.:

1. Mr. Arjun Kadam, learned Counsel appearing for the Applicants states that the Anticipatory Bail Application has become infructuous. He submits that FIR bearing CR No.324 of 2023 registered with Tasgaon Police Station, District - Sangli for the offence punishable under Sections 498A, 353, 342, 186, 506 and 34 of the *Indian Penal Code, 1860* has been quashed and set aside by Division Bench of this Court in Writ Petition No.2762 of 2023 and Writ Petition No.2763 of 2023. He further states that the said Order has been challenged in the Supreme Court by filing Special Leave to Appeal (Crl) No(s). 3039 of 2024 and the said Special Leave to Appeal has also been dismissed. Consequently, the Anticipatory Bail Application has become infructuous.

2. Accordingly, Anticipatory Bail Application is disposed of as infructuous.

[MADHAV J. JAMDAR, J.]