

vai

VASANT
ANANDRAO
IDHOL

Digitally signed by
VASANT
ANANDRAO IDHOL
Date: 2025.01.22
14:58:48 +0530

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 10021 OF 2023

Sambhaji Balkrishna Zambre	... Petitioner
Versus	
Chaya Balkrishna Zambre	... Respondent

Mr.Prashant V. Bhavake for the Petitioner.

Adv.Maitreyee Garade i/b Mr.Ajinkya Udane for the Respondent.

CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL,J.
DATED : 21.01.2025

ORDER :-

1. Considering the fact that the petitioner is the son of the Respondent and has preferred Writ Petition, in all probabilities in the light of phraseology in the appeal provision contained in the Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens Act, 2007 (for short “the Act”).

2. Learned advocate for the petitioner fairly concedes that the division bench in the matter of **Jagdish Pitambar Pawar vs. Pitamber Pundlik Pawar & Ors.** in Writ Petition No.36 of 2023, delivered on 29.11.2023, has interpreted this provision by reading it down to the effect that it also includes the person against whom an order is

passed under the Act i.e. the children.

3. In the light of above state of affairs, the Writ Petition is disposed of by granting liberty to the petitioner to resort to the appeal. The Appellate Authority shall consider Section 14 of the Limitation Act, 1963, provided the appeal is preferred within a period of three weeks from today.

(MANGESH S. PATIL, J.)