



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.10292 OF 2022

Shankar Mahadeo Gaikwad & Anr.

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents

Mr. Siddhesh Pilankar i/b. Dr. U. P. Warunjikar for the Petitioners.
Ms. S. S. Bhende, AGP for the Respondent-State.

CORAM : M. S. Sonak &
Jitendra Jain, JJ.

DATED : 30 April 2025

PC.:- (Per M. S. Sonak, J.)

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
2. Rule. The Rule is made returnable immediately at the request and with the consent of the learned counsel for the parties.
3. Ms. Bhende, learned AGP produces on record communication dated 27 March 2025 addressed to her by Deputy Collector (Rehabilitation), Satara.
4. Ms. Bhende states that in terms of this communication she has instructions to state that the impugned order dated 4 July 2022 would be withdrawn or may be quashed and set aside by this Court and after giving an opportunity to the Petitioners, a fresh decision would be taken in the matter within the timeline that could be indicated by this Court.
5. Mr. Pilankar, learned counsel for the Petitioners, submits that the petition could be disposed of based on the above statement, but the Petitioners should be given an opportunity to file additional documents in the context of their eligibility.

6. The Petitioners are granted liberty to file additional documents with the Deputy Collector (Rehabilitation), Satara on or before 20 June 2025. The impugned order dated 4 July 2022 is quashed and set aside and the Deputy Collector (Rehabilitation), Satara is directed to hear the Petitioners, considering the documents originally and additionally filed and decide on the Petitioners' claim for alternate land by 31 July 2025.

7. The Rule is made absolute in the above terms without any cost order.

8. All concerned to act on an authenticated copy of this order.

(Jitendra Jain, J.)

(M. S. Sonak, J.)