

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CIRCUIT BENCH AT KOLHAPUR  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CRIMINAL ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1288 OF 2024**

Bhivaji @ Bhikaji Nagnath Vavre

.... Applicant

**Versus**

The State of Maharashtra and Anr.

.... Respondents

-----

Mr. Ramdas Hake Patil, Advocate for the Applicant.

Mr. S. S. Chaudhari, A.P.P., for the Respondent – State.

Ms. Vaishnavi Gaikwad i/b Mr. Rushikesh Patil, Advocate for Respondent No.2.

-----

**CORAM : SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.**

**DATE : 14<sup>th</sup> NOVEMBER, 2025.**

SHANTANU  
SHANKARSA  
DHUDUM  
Digitally  
signed by  
SHANTANU  
SHANKARSA  
DHUDUM  
Date:  
2025.12.26  
11:41:38  
+0530

**P.C. :**

1. The Applicant is apprehending arrest in C.R. No.13 of 2024 registered at Salgar Vasti Police Station, Solapur City, District: Solapur, for the offences punishable under Sections 307, 385, 294, 506, 143, 147 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short, “IPC”).

2. It is prosecution’s case that on 5<sup>th</sup> February, 2024 at around 12:00 p.m., the Applicant and co-accused assaulted the first informant with a cutter blade and a stone with an intention to kill him in the

Gramsabha.

3. It is contention of learned counsel for the Applicant that while on interim relief, the Applicant has co-operated with the investigation. Investigation is completed and charge-sheet has been filed. The first informant has no objection to allow the application, and requested to allow the application.

4. It is contention of learned APP that the Applicant had assaulted the first informant with a cutter blade and it is not recovered. For recovery of weapon, custodial interrogation of the Applicant is required, and requested to reject the application.

5. It is contention of learned counsel for Respondent No.2 that Respondent No.2 has filed a complaint against the Applicant due to misunderstanding. He has no objection to allow the application.

6. I have heard all learned counsel, perused the F.I.R. and documents produced on record.

7. The Applicant is on interim relief for one year and has co-operated with investigation and charge-sheet is filed.

8. It is contention of learned counsel for first informant that the complaint was filed due to misunderstanding, and he has no objection to allow the application. Considering these facts, I pass

following order:

**ORDER**

- i. The application is allowed.
  - ii. The interim relief granted by this Court (Coram: Sarang V. Kotwal, J) vide order dated 8<sup>th</sup> May, 2024, is made absolute.
  - iii. The Applicant shall attend the concerned police station as and when required.
9. It is made clear that the above observations are made only for the purpose of granting bail and the Trial Court shall decide the case on its own merits in accordance with law and uninfluenced by the observations made in this order.
10. All concerned to act on the authenticated copy of this order.

**( SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.)**