

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIRCUIT BENCH AT KOLHAPUR
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1123 OF 2025**

1. Anita Jalindar Waidande
 2. Jalindar Vishnu Waidande
 3. Sanket Jalindar Waidande
- Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and Anr. Respondents

Mr. Shailesh D. Chavan a/w Mr. Amarsinh Katkar, Advocate for the Applicant.

Mr. N. B. Patil, A.P.P., for the Respondent – State.

Mr. Firoz Maner i/b Mr. Vikrant Shinde, Advocate for Respondent No.2.

**CORAM : SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.
DATE : 15th SEPTEMBER, 2025.**

Digitally signed
by SHANTANU
SHANKARSA
DHUDUM
Date:
2025.09.16
17:33:06
+0530

P.C. :

1. The Applicant is apprehending arrest in C.R. No. 296 of 2025 registered with Satara City Police Station, for the offence punishable under Sections 89, 85, 352, 351(3), 351(2), 3(5) and 115(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (for short, “BNS Act”).
2. It is prosecution’s case that the first informant is daughter-in-law of the Applicants, who in collusion mentally and physically harassed the first informant to bring Rs.2,00,000/- for taking the car.

The Accused No.1 and Applicants assaulted the first informant on several occasions. They forced the first informant to terminate her pregnancy. It is alleged that the Accused No.1 had illicit relationship with other lady. On that ground, he and Applicants harassed the first informant.

3. It is contention of learned counsel for the Applicants that Accused No.1 i.e. husband of the first informant against whom serious allegations are levelled has been released on anticipatory bail by the learned Sessions Judge. The said order has not been challenged. Considering allegations against the Applicants, their custodial interrogation is not required and requested to allow the application.

4. It is contention of learned APP along with learned counsel for the Intervenor that the Applicants and Accused No.1 physically and mentally harassed the first informant. They forced her to terminate her pregnancy and forcefully fed her the contraceptive pills, due to which there was miscarriage. The Applicants have harassed the first informant physically and mentally. Considering allegations against them, their custodial interrogation is required, and requested to reject the application.

5. I have heard all learned counsels, perused F.I.R. and

documents produced on record.

6. In the F.I.R. the main allegations are against Accused No.1 i.e. husband of the first informant. He has been released on anticipatory bail by the learned Sessions Judge. The said order has not been challenged. Considering these facts, custodial interrogation of the Applicants is not required, and I pass the following order:

ORDER

- i. The Application is allowed.
- ii. In the event of arrest, the Applicants be enlarged on bail in connection with C.R. No.296 of 2025 registered with Satara Police Station, on executing P. R. Bond to the extent of Rs.50,000/- each with two or more sureties each of the like amount.
- iii. The Applicants shall mark their attendance at the concerned police station once in 15 days i.e. on 2nd Monday and 4th Monday of the every month between 11:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m, till filing of charge sheet.
- iv. The Applicants shall not contact and/or

threaten/influence any witnesses in the present crime.

v. The trial Court shall not be influenced by the observations made in this order and shall decide the matter on its own merits and in accordance with law.

7. In view of the above, the application is allowed and disposed off.

8. All the concerned to act on the authenticated copy of this order.

(SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.)