

Arjun

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
SECOND APPEAL NO.348 OF 2002**

Sampat Mahadeo Jadhav ...Appellant

Versus

Bapu Pandu Chavan ...Respondents
Since deceased by his legal heirs and representatives,
1a. Ramchandra Bapu Chavan & Ors.

**WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.454 OF 2002
IN
SECOND APPEAL NO.348 OF 2002**

Sampat Mahadeo Jadhav ...Applicant

Versus

Bapu Pandu Chavan ...Respondents
Since deceased by his legal heirs and representatives,
1a. Ramchandra Bapu Chavan & Ors.

**WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.707 OF 2005
IN
SECOND APPEAL NO.348 OF 2002**

Sampat Mahadeo Jadhav ...Applicant

Versus

Bapu Pandu Chavan ...Respondents
Since deceased by his legal heirs and representatives,
1a. Ramchandra Bapu Chavan & Ors.

**WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION (ST) NO.4756 OF 2006
IN
SECOND APPEAL NO.348 OF 2002**

Bapu Pandu Chavan ...Applicants

Since deceased by his legal heirs and representatives,
1a. Ramchandra Bapu Chavan & Ors.

Versus

Sampat Mahadeo Jadhav ...Respondent

Digitally
signed by
ARJUN
VITTHAL
KUDHEKAR
Date:
2025.07.22
19:26:14
+0530

Mr. S. T. Bhosale i/b Dilip Bodake, for the Appellant.

CORAM: MADHAV J. JAMDAR, J.
DATED: 22 JULY 2025

P.C.:

1. Mr. Bhosale, learned Counsel appearing for the Appellant states that despite contacting the Appellant on telephone as well as by sending communications, the Appellant is not responding and accordingly no further steps could be taken. Mr. Bhosale, learned Counsel states that therefore, Advocate of the Appellant is not in a position to take steps in accordance with the Order dated 3rd January 2024 passed by a learned Single Judge.
2. Accordingly, Second Appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution.
3. As the Second Appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution, the Civil Applications/Interim Applications, if any, also stands dismissed.

[MADHAV J. JAMDAR, J.]