

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

URMILA
PRAMOD
INGALE

Digitally signed
by URMILA
PRAMOD
INGALE
Date: 2025.03.18
18:18:31 +0530

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 258 OF 2024

Dattatraya Kalyanrao Patil and ors. ... Petitioners
Versus
Mr. Manoj Sounik and ors. Respondents

**ALONG WITH
CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 260 OF 2024**

Vaijinath Surybhan Aawate and ors. ... Petitioners
Versus
Mr. Manoj Sounik and ors. Respondents

**ALONG WITH
CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 261 OF 2024**

Ramakant Masu Londhe and ors. ... Petitioners
Versus
Mr. Manoj Sounik and ors. Respondents

**ALONG WITH
CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 262 OF 2024**

Bhanudas Damodar Bhoge and ors. ... Petitioners
Versus
Mr. Manoj Sounik and ors. Respondents

**ALONG WITH
CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 259 OF 2024
(Not on Board. Taken on Board.)**

Sajjan Hindurao Mane and ors. ... Petitioners
Versus
Mr. Manoj Sounik and ors. Respondents

Mr. Dhananjay Chavan, for the Petitioners.
Mr. V.M. Mali, AGP for Respondents No. 19 & 20 in CP/258/2024.
Mr. Ashok Misal, for Respondents No. 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15 & 17 in CP/258/2024.
Ms. Pooja Joshi Deshpande, AGP for Respondents No. 15 & 16 in CP/260/2024.

Mr. Ashok Misal, for Respondents No. 7, 8, 9, 13 & 14 in CP/260/2024.
 Ms. Priyanka Chavan, AGP, for Respondents No. 15 & 16 in CP/261/2024.
 Mr. Ashok Misal, for Respondents No. 9, 10, 11, 13 & 14 in CP/261/2024.
 Mr.S.B.Kalel., AGP for Respondents No. 10 & 11 in CP/262/2024.
 Mr. Ashok Misal, for Respondents No. 8, 9 & 10 in CP/262/2024.
 Ms.N.M. Mehra, AGP for Respondents No. 19 & 20 in CP/259/2024.
 Mr. Ashok Misal, for Respondents No. 7 to 12, 14, 15, 17 & 18 in CP/259/2024.

**CORAM : M.S.KARNIK &
 ASHWIN D. BHOBE, JJ.**

DATE : 18th MARCH, 2025

P.C. :

1. We find that there is substantial compliance with the orders passed by this Court. It is the grievance of the learned counsel for the petitioners that pension amount is not calculated properly as in some other departments, like employees working in Tahsildar office or those working with the Zilla Parishad office at Satara, employees similarly situated are getting much more pensionary benefits and the difference is approximately upto Rs.7,000/-.

2. In our opinion, this cannot be the scope of the contempt petitions. It is open for the petitioners to avail appropriate remedies, if it is their contention that the petitioners are paid less pension amount. It is also open for the petitioners to make a representation and bring this

anomaly, if it exists, to the notice to the concerned authorities. The representation be decided on its own merits in accordance with law.

3. Keeping this liberty open, contempt petitions are disposed of.

(ASHWIN D. BHOBE, J.)

(M.S.KARNIK, J.)