

Amberkar

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 16110 OF 2024

Snehlata Shankar Khilare

.. Petitioner

Versus

Mangal Shikshan Prasarak Mandal & Ors.

.. Respondents

.....

- Mr. Ambaji Rajayya Rayani, Advocate for Petitioner
- Mr. V.S. Tadke, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 1 and 3
- Mr. Rushikesh S. Aradwad i/by Mr. Sumedh S. Modak a/w Mr. Vijay Killedar, Advocate for Respondent No. 4
- Mr. A.I. Patel, Addl. G.P a/w Ms. T.J. Kapre, AGP for Respondent Nos. 5 & 6 - State

.....

CORAM : MILIND N. JADHAV, J.

DATE : JUNE 18, 2025

P. C.:

1. Heard Mr. Rayani, learned Advocate for Petitioner; Mr. Tadke, learned Advocate for Respondent Nos. 1 and 3; Mr. Aradwad, learned Advocate for Respondent No. 4 and Mr. Patel, learned Addl. GP for Respondent Nos. 5 & 6 - State.

2. Mr. Rayani would submit that as stated in the order dated 11.06.2025, the proposal which is the subject matter of the present Petition has been decided by order dated 15.06.2023 of which cognizance was taken by Court in paragraph No. 4 of its order. He would however draw Court's attention to the last paragraph of the

decision dated 15.06.2023 to contend that the proposal was rejected due to non-compliance and non-filing of relevant documents. He would therefore submit that Petitioner's right to file a fresh proposal along with relevant documents is to be protected.

3. It is seen that the proposal was sent by Respondent No. 3. Respondent No. 3 is therefore directed by Court to consider the inadequacies and discrepancies in the proposal along with Petitioner and comply with the same / fulfill the same. Equally if there is any inadequacy / discrepancy on the part of Respondent No. 3 and information is required to be supplied by Respondent No. 3 in the proposal or the documents annexed to the proposal, Respondent No. 3 shall do so. Respondent No. 3 and Petitioner are both directed to prepare a complete proposal to be sent to Respondent No. 4.

4. The aforesaid exercise is directed to be carried out within a period of four weeks from today. Copy of the fresh proposal prepared by Respondent No. 3 after complying with the inadequacies / discrepancies shall be sent by Respondent No. 3 to Respondent No. 4 for approval and copy of the same shall be given to Petitioner for her record.

5. Once the fresh proposal is sent to Respondent No. 4, he is directed by Court to determine the same within a period of four weeks

from the date of its receipt strictly in accordance with law. If required, Petitioner may be given an opportunity of hearing by Respondent No. 4 if the said proposal is required to be determined.

6. With the above directions, Writ Petition is disposed.

Amberkar

[MILIND N. JADHAV, J.]

RAVINDRA
MOHAN
AMBERKAR
Digitally signed
by RAVINDRA
MOHAN
AMBERKAR
Date:
2025.06.18
14:59:56 +0530