

Harish

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIRCUIT BENCH AT KOLHAPUR
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1012 OF 2025**

Akash @ Gendya Santosh Jadhav	...Applicant
Versus	
The State Of Maharashtra	...Respondent

HARISH
VITHAL
CHAUDHARI

Digitally signed
by HARISH
VITHAL
CHAUDHARI
Date:
2025.10.10
20:02:30 +0530

**WITH
CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1009 OF 2025**

Amol Sachin Sathe	...Applicant
Versus	
The State Of Maharashtra	...Respondent

**WITH
CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1008 OF 2025**

Vijay @ Vicky @Vikas Santram Gosavi	...Applicant
Versus	
The State Of Maharashtra	...Respondent

Mr. Ramanik Powar a/w Samadhan V. Mahamalkar, Rahul Gupta, Parvej Nadaf, Dhanashri Jagdale & Shubhangi Kadam for the Applicants.

Mr. S. H. Yadav for the Respondent-State.

PSI M. V. Powar, Sangli Police Station.

CORAM : SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.

DATE : 8th OCTOBER, 2025

P.C.:

1. By these applications, the applicants are seeking regular bail in crime No. 515 of 2021 registered with Sangli City Police

Station, Sangli for the offences punishable under Sections 395, 397 and 411 read with Section 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 and under Section 3(1)(ii), 3(4), Maharashtra Control of Organised Crime Act, 1999 and under Section 4 read with Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959.

2. It is prosecution's case that on 25th August, 2021 at around 2.00 p.m., when the first informant was playing cards with his friends, at that time, the applicants and co-accused came there and by showing knife, robbed the amount of Rs.1,11,220/- from the first informant and his friends.

3. It is the contention of learned counsel for the applicants that the applicants are behind bar more than 4 years. There is no progress in the trial. It may take time to conclude the trial. Hence, requested to allow the applications.

4. It is contention of learned APP that the applicants are the members of organized crime syndicate. The applicants have several antecedents. If the applicants released on bail, they may abscond or threaten the prosecution witnesses. Hence, requested to reject the applications.

5. I have heard both learned counsels, perused the FIR and

documents produced on record.

6. Applicants are behind bar more than 4 years. Investigation is completed and charge-sheet has been filed. It may take time to conclude the trial. Considering these facts, further detention of applicants are not required and I pass following order:

ORDER

(i) Applications are allowed.

(ii) The applicants be enlarged on bail in crime No. 515 of 2021 registered with Sangli City Police Station, Sangli on executing P. R. Bond in the sum of Rs.20,000/- each with one or two sureties in the like amount.

(iii) The applicants shall attend the Court dates regularly.

(iv) The applicants shall not tamper with the evidence or attempt to influence or contact the complainant, witnesses or any person concerned with the case.

7. The application is allowed in the aforesaid terms and is accordingly disposed off.

8. It is made clear that the above observations are made only for the purpose of granting bail and the Trial Court shall decide

the case on its own merits in accordance with law and uninfluenced by the observations made in this order.

9. All concerned to act on the authenticated copy of this order.

[SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.]