

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 3436 OF 2025

Sunil Mahadev Paymal & Ors. ...Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

AND

WRIT PETITION NO. 4294 OF 2025

Manisha Ramrao Khuperkar & Ors. ...Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

Mr. Chetan Patil i/by Mr. Mandar Bagkar, Advocate for the
Petitioners in both these Petitions.

Mr. P.P. Kakade, Addl. G.P. a/w Mr. Siddheshwar Kalel, AGP for the
Respondent – State.

SUNNY
ANKUSHRAO
THOTE
Digitally signed
by SUNNY
ANKUSHRAO
THOTE
Date:
2025.04.03
10:10:08 +0530

CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE

&

ASHWIN D. BHOBE, JJ.

DATE : 2nd APRIL, 2025

P.C. :-

1. The identical grievance of the Petitioners is that the proposals seeking approval to their appointments, are not being accepted by Mr. Mahesh Chothe, Deputy Director Education, Kolhapur.

2. The learned Addl. G.P. submits that he would not justify such conduct. He assures that if the Management approaches the concerned Officer on 4th April, 2025 and tenders the proposals, the same will be received and official acknowledgments would be issued. We record the said statement. The Management would tender the proposals on 4th April, 2025.

3. In view of the above, these **Writ Petitions are disposed off**. Respondent No.3 shall deal with the said proposals by following the due procedure laid down in law. All the deficiencies/objections, if any, would be pointed out to the Management within a period of 15 days of the receipt of the proposals. No further deficiencies/objections would be raised. The Management would remove the deficiencies/objections within a period of 15 days. Thereafter, within the further period of 30 days, Respondent No.3 would pass a reasoned order on the said proposals, on their own merits, considering each and every aspect that is required to be verified, including the newspaper publications.

(ASHWIN D. BHOBE, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)