

VRJ

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIRCUIT BENCH AT KOLHAPUR
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO.3232 OF 2021

VAIBHAV
RAMESH
JADHAV
Digitally signed by
VAIBHAV RAMESH
JADHAV
Date: 2025.11.11
19:01:28 +0530

Janardan Rau Kamble (Deceased)

Through His Legal Heirs Kailash

Janardan Kamble & Anr.

... Petitioners

V/s.

Sudam Piraji Kamble (Deceased) & Ors.

... Respondents

Mr. Manoj A. Patil for the petitioners.

Mr. Pradeep D. Dalvi for the respondents.

CORAM : S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR, J.

DATED : NOVEMBER 10, 2025

P.C.:

1. Present writ petition takes exception to order dated 15th January 2021 passed by learned Member, Maharashtra Revenue Tribunal, Kolhapur in Delay Condonation Application No.KP/10/2020, whereby delay of 19 years caused in filing revision application by respondents is condoned, and revision application is registered.

2. Mr. Dalvi, learned advocate appearing for respondents, referring to affidavit-in-reply submits that already revision

application filed against order dated 11th March 2020 passed by Sub-Divisional Officer, Ichalkaranji in Tenancy Appeal No.10 of 2000 is pending before Maharashtra Revenue Tribunal, Pune, vide Revision Application No.68/B/2001/KOP. He further submits that second revision was erroneously filed before Maharashtra Revenue Tribunal against same order along with application to condone delay of 19 years due to wrong advice.

3. Learned Member, Maharashtra Revenue Tribunal, allowed said application vide impugned order dated 15th January 2021 and condoned delay. He would submit that in wake of pendency of previous Revision Application No.68/B/2001/KOP, second revision could not have filed. Therefore, respondents do not want to proceed with second revision which was filed along with Delay Condonation Application No.KP/10/2020 before Maharashtra Revenue Tribunal. Respondents would not press second revision and continue with previous revision application in file No.68/B/2001/KOP.

4. In view of the aforesaid statement, present writ petition stands disposed of with liberty to respondents to prosecute pending revision.

5. Looking to the fact that revision application is pending since 2001, learned Member, Maharashtra Revenue Tribunal, Pune is requested to expeditiously decide the same.

(S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR, J.)