

Iresh

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIRCUIT BENCH AT KOLHAPUR
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO. 631 OF 2025

Ajay Raghunath Jadhav

.....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra

.....Respondent

Mr. Kuldeep Nikam a/w Mr. Onkar Bajaj a/w Mr. Pratik Patil
Advocate for the Applicant

Mr. S. S. Chaudhari APP for the State

Mr. P. G. Addad, PC, Sadar Bazar Police Station, Solapur

CORAM : SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.

DATE : 16th OCTOBER 2025

P.C.:

1. By this application, applicant is seeking regular bail in C.R. No. 261 of 2024 registered with Sadar Bazar Police Station, Solapur for offences punishable under Sections 307, 323, 324, 504, 506 r/w 34 of the Indian Penal Code and under Section 4, 25 of the Arms Act and under Sections, 142, 37 (1)(3), 135 of The Maharashtra Police Act.

2. It is the prosecution's case that on 10th April 2024, around 5.30 p.m., when the first informant and his two cousins were

IRESH
MASHAL

Digitally signed
by IRESH
MASHAL
Date:
2025.10.16
18:11:38
+0530

sitting in front of their house, applicant and co-accused came there and assaulted the first informant and his cousins with sharp weapon with intention to kill them. It is alleged that the applicant assaulted the first informant on his head with intention to kill him. Due to the said assault, the first informant and injured witnesses suffered grievous injuries.

3. It is contention of learned counsel for applicant that applicant is behind bar for more than 1 year and 6 months. There is no progress in trial. It may take time to conclude the trial. Hence, requested to allow the application.

4. It is contention of learned APP that the applicant is habitual offender. He is externed from Solapur district and during his externment, he has committed this offence. If the applicant is released on bail, he may threaten prosecution witnesses. Charge is framed against the applicant. Hence, requested to reject the application.

5. I have heard both learned counsels, perused F.I.R. and documents produced on record. Applicant is behind bar for more than 1 year and 6 months. Though charge is framed, there

is no progress in trial. It may take time to conclude the trial.

6. In view of above, I pass following order:

ORDER

I. The Application is allowed.

II. The Applicant be enlarged on bail in Crime No. 261 of 2024 registered with Sadar Bazar Police Station, Solapur on executing P.R.Bond in the sum of Rs. 20,000/- with one or two sureties in the like amount.

III. The Applicant shall not tamper with the evidence or attempt to influence or contact the witnesses or any person concerned with the case.

IV. The applicant shall not enter in Solapur district till recording of evidence of the first informant and injured witnesses who were present at the time of incident, except for attending Court dates.

V. If the applicant breach the conditions, it will be a ground to cancel the bail of the applicant.

VI. The Applicant shall attend the Trial Court dates, regularly.

7. The application is allowed in the aforesaid terms and is accordingly disposed off.

8. It is made clear that the above observations are made only

for the purpose of granting bail and the Trial Court shall decide the case on its own merits in accordance with law and uninfluenced by the observations made in this order.

9. All concerned to act on the authenticated copy of this order.

[SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.]