

VRJ

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIRCUIT BENCH AT KOLHAPUR
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 1647 OF 2015

VAIBHAV
RAMESH
JADHAV
Digitally signed by
VAIBHAV RAMESH
JADHAV
Date: 2025.12.11
14:10:14 +0530

Nagnath Valappa Rathod ...Petitioner

V/s.

State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 1648 OF 2015

Shafulal Yakub Shaikh ...Petitioner

V/s.

State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 1649 OF 2015

Kavita Suresh Narote ...Petitioner

V/s.

State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 1650 OF 2015

Chandrasen Banda Bhosale ...Petitioner

V/s.

State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 1651 OF 2015

Rukmini Parmeshwar Kale ...Petitioner

V/s.

State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1652 OF 2015**

Nirmala Vijay Rathod	...Petitioner
V/s.	
State of Maharashtra & Ors.	...Respondents

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1653 OF 2015**

Navnath Maruti Injanware	...Petitioner
V/s.	
State of Maharashtra & Ors.	...Respondents

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1654 OF 2015**

Naushad Sardar Shaikh	...Petitioner
V/s.	
State of Maharashtra & Ors.	...Respondents

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1668 OF 2015**

Surtabai Tukaram Rathod	...Petitioner
V/s.	
State of Maharashtra & Ors.	...Respondents

J. P. Patil, AGP for the State.
Sachindra Shetye (Through V.C.) with Akshay Pansare,
Pruthvi Bendke for respondent No.2.

CORAM : S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR, J.

DATED : DECEMBER 10, 2025

PC.:

1. This group of writ petitions arises out of a Grampanchayat dispute. The respondents, who were elected as members of Village Panchayat on 27th November 2012, incurred disqualification for failure to submit their election expenses within a period of one month from declaration of result. By order dated 12th September 2014, they were declared disqualified from contesting election for a further period of five years from date of order. The aforesaid period is also expired.
2. In that view of the matter, disqualification order becomes ineffective. Hence, nothing survives for consideration in these writ petitions. The writ petitions stand disposed of as infructuous.
3. Pending interlocutory application(s), if any, stand disposed of.

(S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR, J.)