

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIRCUIT BENCH AT KOLHAPUR
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO. 428 OF 2025**

Vishal Krishnappa Bhandiwaddar ...Applicant

Versus

The State Of Maharashtra ...Respondent

Mr. Amit S. Khotlande for the applicant
Mr. S. S. Chaudhari APP for the State

CORAM : SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.

DATE : 29th SEPTEMBER 2025

P.C.:

1. By this application, applicant is seeking regular bail in C.R. No. 445 of 2024 registered with Vijapur Naka Police Station, District Solapur for offences punishable under Sections 310(4) and 303(2) of the Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita, 2023.

2. It is the prosecution's case that on 3rd October 2024, when the first informant and his team were doing patrolling, at that time, they found one Ertiga car in suspicious condition. In search of the car, police found the applicant alongwith co-

IRESH
MASHAL

Digitally
signed by
IRESH
MASHAL
Date:
2025.09.30
17:47:56
+0530

accused having weapons. It is alleged that the applicant and co-accused were preparing for dacoity. In search of the said car, police found 100 stolen mobiles.

3. It is the contention of learned counsel for applicant that applicant is behind bar around one year. There is no progress in trial. Applicant has no antecedents. It may take time to conclude the trial. Hence, requested to allow the application.

4. It is the contention of learned APP that charge is framed. Matter is posted for evidence of the first informant. 100 stolen mobiles found in the car where the applicant was sitting. If the applicant is released on bail, he may abscond or threaten the prosecution witnesses. Hence, requested to reject the application.

5. I have heard both learned counsel, perused F.I.R. and documents produced on record. The allegations against the applicant are that he was found in the car when the police intercepted the said car, the applicant and co-accused were planning for dacoity. The stolen mobiles are seized at the instance of the co-accused Anil Vaddar. Applicant has no

antecedents. applicant is behind bar around one year. There is no progress in trial.

6. Considering these facts, I pass the following order:

ORDER

I. The Application is allowed.

II. The Applicant be enlarged on bail in C.R. No. 445 of 2024 registered with Vijapur Naka Police Station, District Solapur on executing P.R. Bond in the sum of Rs. 20,000/- with one or two local sureties in the like amount.

III. The Applicant shall not tamper with the evidence or attempt to influence or contact the witnesses or any person concerned with the case.

IV. The Applicant shall attend the Trial Court dates, regularly.

7. The application is allowed in the aforesaid terms and is accordingly disposed off.

8. It is made clear that the above observations are made only for the purpose of granting bail and the Trial Court shall decide the case on its own merits in accordance with law and uninfluenced by the observations made in this order.

9. All concerned to act on the authenticated copy of this order.

[SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.]