

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.59 OF 2025

Sharad Raamchandra Patil
and another

.....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
and another

.....Respondents

Mr. Anil S. Shitole, Advocate for the Applicants.

Mr. J.P. Yagnik, APP for the Respondent No.1-State.

**CORAM : SARANG V. KOTWAL &
S.M. MODAK, JJ.**

DATE : 20th FEBRUARY, 2025

P.C. :

1. After arguing for some time, when we expressed our disinclination to quash the proceedings, learned counsel for the Applicants sought permission to withdraw this Application with liberty to prefer a discharge Application and to point out to the trial Court that the offences under those particular sections, which are mentioned in the charge-sheet, are not made out.

2. Permission is granted. The Application is allowed to be withdrawn. The Applicants are at liberty to prefer an Application for discharge on merits as well as to point out that some of the offences, applied in the charge-sheet, are not made out. If such an Application is preferred, it shall be decided on its own merits and in accordance with law. With this liberty, the Application is allowed to be withdrawn and is disposed of as such.

(S.M. MODAK, J.)

(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.)