



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 96/2025

ROHAN DATTATRYA JADHAV

..APPLICANT

VS

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ANR.

..RESPONDENTS

Adv. Pramod G. Kathane a/w. Adv. Manoj G. Sawardekar, Adv. Muzzayyana B. Shaikh, Adv. Moiez M. Khot for the applicant.

Ms. Rutuja A. Ambekar, APP for State.

Mr. Ritesh Thobde for respondent no.2 (appointed through Legal Aid).

PSI Vishal Yelekar, Vita Police Station, District Sangli.

CORAM : RAJESH S. PATIL, J.

DATE : MARCH 11, 2025.

P.C. :

1. On the last occasion i.e. on 18/2/2025 an order was passed by this Court whereby permission was granted to the victim to supply audio recording of the conversation between the victim and her husband. For the ease of reference, the said order is reproduced herein below:-

. After the matter was heard for some time, as of today suffice will be the purpose if certain directions are given to the Investigating Officer (IO). The IO is not present today.

2. The victim is granted permission to supply to the police the audio recording regarding the conversation on mobile call between the victim

and the accused no.3 Rishikesh Dattatray Jadhav/her husband.

3. So also, the victim is permitted to handover the video clip recorded which shows the conversation of the victim with the neighbour.

4. Once this information is supplied to the IO, the IO should immediately act as per the provisions of law.

5. The applicant should also attend the office of the IO on 25th February 2025 and 26th February 2025 between 11:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m.

6. The IO under any circumstances should remain present before this Court on the next date of the hearing.

7. Stand over to **11th March 2025**. Matter to come up under the caption '**Urgent Circulation**'.

8. Interim protection granted earlier to continue till the next date of the hearing.

2. Today victim's statement has been showed by the learned APP which records the conversation between the victim and her husband.

I have gone through the statement recorded by the police and also gone through the recording of the police of conversation between the victim and her husband. The contents of conversation is a matter of trial.

3. At a *prima facie* stage, I am of the view that custody of the present applicant is not necessary. Therefore, the anticipatory bail application stands allowed. Hence, the following order.

ORDER

(a) **The anticipatory bail application is allowed.**

(b) In the event of arrest in connection with the First Information Report No.490/2024 registered with the Vita Police Station, District Sangli, the applicant shall be released on bail, on furnishing P.R. bond

to the extent of Rs.30,000/- with one or two sureties of the like amount.

(c) The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with facts of case so as to dissuade him from disclosing the facts to Court or any Police Officer and shall not tamper with evidence.

(d) The applicant shall furnish details of his residential address, contact numbers and email address to the Investigating Officer.

4. The anticipatory bail application is disposed of.

(RAJESH S. PATIL, J.)