

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 912 OF 2025

Shri Lavesh Narayan Patil. ... Petitioner.
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents.

Mr. Prashant Bhavake, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. V.M. Mali, AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 to 5/State.

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE AND
ASHWIN D. BHOBE, JJ.**

DATE : 15th APRIL, 2025

P.C. :

1. The learned AGP draws our attention to the affidavit in reply filed by Shri Ajay Panditrao Patil, Deputy Education Officer (Secondary), Kolhapur Zilla Parishad, dated 27th February, 2025. The learned Advocate for the Petitioner makes a grievance that a decision cannot be communicated through an affidavit in reply and neither can it be kept pending. The Authority concerned has to pass a reasoned order in order to enable the Petitioner to realise the fate of his pending proposal.

2. The learned AGP submits that the concerned Officer would pass a reasoned order within 30 days from today.

3. By recording the above statement, this **Petition is disposed off.**

4. If the order is adverse to the Petitioner, needless to state, the Petitioner can avail of a remedy as is permissible in law.

5. In the event, the order is in favour of the Petitioner, further steps as are warranted in law and the procedure for grant of the Shalarth ID, shall be initiated.

(ASHWIN D. BHOBE, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)