

Pdp

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**WRIT PETITION NO.284 OF 2012
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1017 OF 2013**

Probhod Shankar Gaikwad .. Petitioner

Versus

Nurulain Abdulkarim Shaikh & Ors. .. Respondents

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 311 OF 2012**

The Chief Officer, Panchgani
Girishthan Parishad, Panchgani & Ors. .. Petitioners

Versus

Nurulain Abdulkarim Shaikh & Ors. .. Respondents

None for petitioner in WP/284/2012.

Mr. Gaurav Lele i/by Mr. Yadunath Chaudhari for petitioner in
WP/311/2012 and for respondent no.2 in WP/284/2012.

Mr. S. D. Rayrikar, AGP for respondent no.3 in both the writ
petitions.

CORAM: ALOK ARADHE, CJ.

DATE: 15th JULY, 2025

ORAL ORDER:

1. In these writ petitions filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioners have assailed the validity of the order dated 5th January, 2012 passed by the Adhoc District Judge-1, Satara, by which the order dated 18th July, 2011 passed by the trial court rejecting the application for

Digitally signed
by PRAVIN
DASHARATH
PANDIT
Date:
2025.07.15
19:49:35
+0530

injunction has been confirmed and the appeals preferred by the petitioners have been dismissed.

2. None has appeared on behalf of the petitioner in Writ Petition No. 284 of 2012. I have Mr. Lele, learned counsel for the petitioner in Writ Petition No. 311 of 2012 and for respondent no.2 in Writ Petition No. 284 of 2012.

3. The civil suit is instituted in the year 2011. The trial court has rejected the application for temporary injunction by an order dated 18th July, 2011, which has been affirmed by the Appellate Court vide impugned order dated 5th January, 2012. Therefore, in the facts and circumstances of the case, instead of examining the validity of the orders containing concurrent findings of fact against the petitioners, I deem it appropriate to direct the trial court to decide the suit, if not already decided, within a period of six months from today.

4. Accordingly, both the writ petitions are disposed of.

5. Civil Applications/Interim Applications, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

6. Let a copy of this order be communicated to the trial court.

(CHIEF JUSTICE)