



Niti

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA
WRIT PETITION NO.279 OF 2024

SITABAI RAJARAM NAIK DESAI AND ANR ... PETITIONERS
Versus
STATE OF GOA THR ITS CHIEF
SECRETARY AND 2 ORS ... RESPONDENTS

Mr Ressano H. Noronha, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Mr S. Priolkar, Additional Government Advocate for Respondent No.1.

Mr S.D. Padiyar, Senior Advocate with Mr P. Shirodkar, Advocate for Respondent No.2.

Mr Shane Gomes Pereira, Advocate for Respondent No.3.

**CORAM:- BHARATI DANGRE &
NIVEDITA P. MEHTA, JJ.**

DATED :- 9th June, 2025

P.C.:

In case the petitioner intends to have his grievance redressed, it is for her to explain the delay, since from the sequence of events on part of the petitioner, some grievance was raised in the year 1992-93 and thereafter some representations are preferred in 2019 and 2023 to be followed by the petition filed in the year 2024.

2. The Counsel for the petitioner would submit that in the previous round, the petition was instituted by the petitioner, which came to be disposed of but the said order do not form part of the petition.

3. He is therefore directed to file a fresh affidavit, explaining the delay in instituting the petition and also place all such appropriate documents which the petitioner deems appropriate to be placed for explaining the long delay as a relief in a writ may be refused to a party where the proceedings suffer from delay and latches.
4. Let such affidavit be filed within a period of two weeks from today.
5. List the matter on 30.06.2025.

NIVEDITA P. MEHTA, J.

BHARATI DANGRE, J.