



vinita

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO.18 OF 2025

MEDHA MADAN CHODANKAR

... PETITIONER

Versus

**STATE OF GOA, THR. ITS SECRETARY
AND 3 ORS**

... RESPONDENTS

Mr P. S. Lotlikar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr S. Parab, Addl. Govt. Advocate for respondent no.1.

Mr P. Faldessai, Advocate for respondent no.2

Mr S. N. Joshi, Advocate for respondent nos. 3 and 4.

**CORAM:- BHARATI DANGRE &
NIVEDITA P. MEHTA, JJ.**

DATED :- 8th April, 2025

P.C.

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner has invited our attention to the order dated 7.10.2019 where respondent, i.e Secretary of village Panchayat was directed to issue necessary notice to the fourth respondent and pursuant to the notice being issued, pass necessary orders contemplated by law. The mandamus was directed to be complied with by passing the necessary order, within two weeks of receipt of a certified copy or an authenticated copy of the order.

2. We are informed that till date, there is no compliance.

3. Mr Faldessai, who has instructions to represent the village panchayat, shall file an affidavit of the Secretary explaining to us the time gap since the passing of the order on 7.10.2019 and as to why no

action has been initiated till date.

4. Let the affidavit be filed on or before 22.4.2025.
5. The private respondent is also permitted to file an affidavit.
6. Renotify to 24.4.2025.

NIVEDITA P. MEHTA, J.

BHARATI DANGRE, J.