

Jose

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA
ARBITRATION APPLICATION NO.1 OF 2024

VIJAY V. CHOWGULE

... APPLICANT

Versus

UMAJI V. CHOWGULE AND 32 ORS

... RESPONDENTS

Mr. Parag Rao with Mr. Akhil Parrikar, Advocates for the Applicant.
Mr. Pulkit Bandodkar, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 1 to 4, 6, 7 and 46 to 53.

Mr. Parikshit Sawant, Advocate for Respondent No.5.

Mr. Shivan Desai with Ms. Riya Amonkar, Advocates for Respondents Nos. 13 to 23 and 34 to 45.

Mr. Gajendra Kanekar with Mr. Pawan Jhabakh, Advocates for Respondent No.24.

CORAM:- VALMIKI MENEZES, J.

DATED :- 10th December, 2025

P.C.:

1. This matter was adjourned in view of the fact that an SLP was pending before the Supreme Court in challenge to a Judgment of a Division Bench of this Court quoting that it is the High Court which has jurisdiction under Section 29A of the Arbitration Act to decide the extension of time to be granted to the Arbitral Tribunal. Judgment in the said SLP has been reserved. In that view of the matter, this matter shall be listed on 05.01.2026 with a clear understanding that if the Judgment in the SLP is not pronounced by that date, the present application would proceed on the basis of the law as laid down in the

Judgment dated 07.08.2024 and 21.08.2024 in Sheela Chowgule v. Vijay V. Chowgule & Ors.; Writ Petition No.88/2024.

VALMIKI MENEZES, J.