



vinita

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA
WRIT PETITION NO.791 OF 2024

AURIO FRANCISCO PEREIRA

... PETITIONER

Versus

THE STATE OF GOA, THR. ITS CHIEF
SECRETARY AND 2 ORS

... RESPONDENTS

Mr Nigel Costa Frias and Ms Sonadevi Nishad, Advocates for the petitioner.

Mr Prashil Arolkar, Additional Government Advocate for respondent Nos.1 and 2.

Mr M. Salkar, Government Advocate for respondent No.3.

**CORAM:- BHARATI H. DANGRE &
NIVEDITA P. MEHTA, JJ.**

DATED :- 25th March, 2025

P.C.

Mr Salkar, learned Standing Counsel representing South Goa Planning and Development Authority on instructions makes a statement that order passed by the District Court, South Goa at Margao in Civil Appeal No.1 of 2021, recording that the change of zone from Institutional to commercial C-2 is not reflected in the Outline Development Plan(ODP) on account of cartographical error.

2. The statement made to the aforesaid effect is accepted, and we expect respondent no.3 to implement the order by conveying a meeting and in any case not beyond the period of four weeks from today pursue the decision taken, since it is informed that approval shall be

forwarded to Goa Town and Country Board.

3. We expect the Goa Town and Country Planning Board to implement the recommendations of respondent no. 3 within a period of four weeks thereafter.

4. With the aforesaid directions, the Writ Petition is disposed of.

NIVEDITA P. MEHTA, J.

BHARATI H. DANGRE, J.