



Jose

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

CIVIL APPLICATION (REVIEW) NO.13 OF 2024

IN

MISC.CIVIL APPLICATION NO.111 OF 2021

MARY JAYNE

... APPLICANT

Versus

EVELYN RICHARD DSOUZA @ EVELYN

PINHEIRO AND ANR

... RESPONDENTS

Ms Prachi Sawant, Advocate under Legal Aid Scheme for the Applicant.

Mr Anirudh Salkar, Advocate for the Respondents.

CORAM:- VALMIKI MENEZES, J.

DATED :- 27th January, 2025

P.C.:

1. There is no case made out for review of the impugned order dated 25.07.2024. There is no error apparent on the face of the record pleaded in the application. What the Applicant seeks to do, is to supply fresh reasons as to why there was a delay in filing the original review application, which is impermissible. The review application will have to stand on the basis of the original facts pleaded in the original review application/application for condonation of delay and cannot seek to add new reasons to justify the delay. In that view of the matter, the application is dismissed with no order as to costs.

VALMIKI MENEZES, J.