



shakuntala

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA
PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION WP NO.42 OF 2024

PRAMODINI NAGVEKAR

... PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF GOA, THR. CHIEF SECRETARY
AND 8 ORS

... RESPONDENT

Mr Raunaq Rao, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Ms Maria Correia, Additional Government Advocate for
Respondents No.1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8.

Ms Annelise Fernandes, Advocate for Respondent No.9.

**CORAM:- M. S. KARNIK &
NIVEDITA P. MEHTA, JJ.**

DATED :- 8th January, 2025

P.C.

1. Learned counsel for Respondent No. 9 says that Respondent No. 9 is not actually residing in the structure which is the subject matter of this petition. Apart from that, learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that action has been initiated by the Deputy Collector and the Village Panchayat against the subject structure which according to the Petitioner is illegal and constructed without any authorisation. As the action has been initiated, the same shall obviously be taken to its logical conclusion by the Deputy Collector and the Village Panchayat in accordance with law. In this view of the matter, the petition can be disposed of by directing the Deputy Collector and the Village Panchayat to take appropriate action which they have already initiated

to its logical conclusion, expeditiously.

2. Petition is disposed of with no costs.

NIVEDITA P. MEHTA, J.

M. S. KARNIK, J.