



Maria S.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA
WRIT PETITION NO.577 OF 2024

TULSIDAS GAWDE

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF GOA, THR. CHIEF
SECRETARY AND 5 ORS

...Respondents

Ms Apeksha Kalokhe, Advocate for the Petitioner.

**Mr Amogh Arlekar, Additional Government Advocate for
the Respondents no. 1, 3, 4 and 5.**

Ms Kalpa Govekar, Advocate for Respondent no. 2.

**CORAM: ALOK ARADHE, CJ. &
M. S. SONAK, J.**

DATE: 12th March, 2025

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Prayer clause (a) of this petition reads as follows:

'a) That by a Writ of Mandamus or a Writ, Order and/or direction in the nature of Mandamus and/or any other appropriate Writ, Order or direction, under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to command and direct the Respondent No.5 to decide and adjudicate the case bearing No.16-1-2003/ILL-CNV.SAT pending in the Court of Deputy Collector and Sub-Divisional Officer, Bicholim Sub-Division, Bicholim Goa expeditiously and in any event within a period of one month or such other period as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit to direct.'

2. Mr Amogh Arlekar, learned Additional Government Advocate states that the Dy. Collector will dispose of the proceedings referred to in prayer clause (a) within three months from today in accordance with law and after complying with principles of natural justice and fair play.

3. The above statement is accepted and the Dy. Collector is directed to act in terms of this statement. All contentions of the parties are left open since we have not examined the merits of the matter.

M. S. SONAK, J.

CHIEF JUSTICE