



Suchitra

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

CIVIL APPLICATION (REVIEW) NO.10/2023

IN

MISC. CIVIL APPLICATION NO.176/2022

FILOMENA SALDANHA
AND ANR.

... APPLICANTS

Versus

SUNIL KOHLI, REP. BY POA,
NAVAL BOWRY AND ANR.

... RESPONDENTS

Mr Richard Diniz, Advocate for the Applicants.

Mr T. Patel, Advocate for Respondent No.1.

Mr Sahil Sardessai h/f Mr Zeller D'Souza, Advocate for
Respondent No.2.

CORAM: VALMIKI MENEZES, J.

DATED: 12th JUNE 2025

P.C. :

1. Learned advocate for the applicants submits that the order sought to be reviewed which is dated 20.02.2023 owing to the fact that the Hon'ble Supreme Court in SLP No. (Diary No.10634/2024) has set aside the order sought to be reviewed. Consequently, the review application is rendered infructuous.
2. Review application stands dismissed as infructuous.

VALMIKI MENEZES, J.