



Andreza

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA
WRIT PETITION NO.591 OF 2023

JANUARICA ANTAO

... PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF GOA THR. CHIEF SECRETARY
AND 4 ORS

... RESPONDENTS

Ms Apeksha Kalokhe, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr Suhas Parab, Additional Government Advocate for Respondent
Nos.1,2 and 4.

Mr Pravin Faldessai with Ms P. Tari, Advocates for Respondent
No.3.

Mr Raj Chodankar, Advocate for Respondent No.5.

**CORAM:- M. S. KARNIK &
NIVEDITA P. MEHTA, JJ.**

DATED :- 6th February, 2025

P.C.

After hearing the learned Counsel for the petitioner, we are satisfied that the present petition can be disposed of by requesting the respondent no.2 to decide the application under Section 66(5) of The Goa Panchayat Raj Act, 1994, within a period of eight weeks from today.

2. Learned Counsel for the petitioner pointed out that the application is in any case kept for orders. It is one of the grievance of the learned Counsel for the petitioner that even without hearing the petitioner, the

matter is closed for orders. If that is so, it is always open for the petitioner to bring these aspects to the notice of the respondent no.2 by way of appropriate application. So far as the present petition is concerned, suffice it to observe, that the application be decided at the earliest in accordance with law.

3. All contentions are kept open. Petition is disposed of. No costs.

NIVEDITA P. MEHTA, J.

M. S. KARNIK, J.