



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

952 ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 92 OF 2025

**AZHAR PASHA QURESHI (IN FIR NAMED AZHAR
BADSHAH QURESHI)**

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Shinde Dhananjay M.

APP for Respondent/State: Mr. S. K. Shirse

...

CORAM : ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.

DATE : 29.01.2025

P.C. :

1. Heard learned counsel for the applicant and the learned APP for the respondent-State.

2. The applicant is apprehending arrest in connection with Crime No.07/2025, dated 02.01.2025, registered at Karmad Police Station, District Aurangabad, for the offences punishable under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 126(2), 189(1), 189(2), 190, 191(2), 191(3), 351(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. This court by interim order dated 21.01.2025 granted interim protection to the applicant for the reasons stated in para 3 of the said order.

The learned counsel for the applicant submits

that the applicant has cooperated with the investigation. The maximum punishment provided for the alleged offences is up to 3 years.

4. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that there was scuffle in which the applicant had sustained injuries and that due to which the applicant had also sent the complaint by RPAD.

5. Considering the nature of injuries and that the applicant has cooperated with the investigation in pursuance of the order dated 21.01.2025, the interim protection granted by order dated 21.01.2025 stands confirmed, on the following terms:

- i] The applicant shall attend the police station as and when required by the Investigating Officer.
- ii] The applicant shall not tamper with the evidence of the prosecution in any manner. He shall not influence the informant, witnesses and other persons concerned with the case.
- iii] The applicant shall co-operate with the investigation and also in the proceedings before the trial Court.

6. In the event, the applicant violates any of the conditions specified in this order, it shall be liable to be cancelled.

7. It is also clarified that the observations made in this order are limited to the disposal of the present anticipatory bail application and the trial Court shall proceed further in the matter without being influenced by the observations made hereinabove.

8. The application stands disposed of.

[ARUN R. PEDNEKER]
JUDGE

marathe