



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

936 BAIL APPLICATION NO. 681 OF 2025

KUNAL SHAHINATH PARBHANE

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Shekade Shashikant E.

APP for Respondents/State : Mr. V.M. Jaware

...

CORAM : ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.

DATE : 01/07/2025

P.C. :

1. Heard the learned counsel for the applicant and the learned APP for respondent-State.
2. The applicant is seeking bail as he was arrested on 14.10.2024 in connection with Crime No. 129/2024 dated 17.06.2024 registered with Beed City Police Station, District Beed for the offences punishable under sections 420, 406, 409, 34 of I.P.C. and section 3 and 4 of Maharashtra Protection of Interest of Depositors Act, 1999.
3. The learned counsel for the applicant has pointed out the order passed by this Court in BA No. 401/2025 by which the applicant has been granted bail in connection with Crime No. 341/2024 registered with Shivaji Nagar Police Station, District Beed for the similar offences. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that the father of the applicant was the Chairman of the Patsanstha and different crimes were registered at different branches of the Patsanstha for inducement of the investors and misappropriation of the funds deposited in the Patsanstha. The learned counsel submits that father of the applicant has invested certain amount i.e.

amount of Rs. 74 lakhs for purchase of shares in the name of the present applicant, who is only 20 years old and in the above corresponding FIR/crime mentioned above, in which bail has been granted to the applicant, this Court has considered the allegations made against the present applicant. The learned counsel submits that the same investment made by the father of the applicant is shown in the above crime No. 341/2024 is also shown in the present crime. The learned counsel submits that multiple FIRs are registered against office bearers of the Patsanstha at different branches and for the same investment amount the applicant is shown as the accused in different F.I.Rs. and this Court has considered the role of the present applicant and has granted bail to the applicant as far as the amount stated in this crime is concerned vide order dated 3.4.2025 in BA No. 401/2025. The learned counsel therefore prays for releasing the applicant on bail in the present crime.

4. Per contra, the learned APP submits that father of the applicant has used the misappropriated amount for purchasing the shares in the name of the present applicant and prays for rejection of the application.

5. This Court has already considered the allegations made against the applicant vide order dated 3.4.2025 in BA No. 401/2025 and for the same allegations, it appears that the applicant is made accused in the present crime also. The applicant is only 20 years of age. Since 14.10.2024 he is behind bars. Considering all these aspects of the matter and role of the applicant in the present crime which is already considered by this Court vide order dated 3.4.2025 in BA No. 401/2025, I hold that bail can be granted to the applicant in the same terms as granted in BA No. 401/2025.

6. In view of the above, the application is allowed. The applicant be

released on bail in connection with Crime No. 129/2024 dated 17.06.2024 registered with Beed City Police Station, District Beed for the offences punishable under sections 420, 406, 409, 34 of I.P.C. and section 3 and 4 of Maharashtra Protection of Interest of Depositors Act, 1999, on furnishing PR bond of Rs.15,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court on following conditions :-

- a] The applicant shall not tamper prosecution evidence.
- b] The applicant shall not leave the area of jurisdiction of this concerned police station without prior permission of the Court till the conclusion of the trial.

7. Needless to say, in case of violation of any of the aforesaid conditions, the bail granted to the applicant shall be liable to be cancelled.

8. It is also clarified that the observations made in this order are limited to the disposal of the present bail application. The concerned Court shall proceed further in the matter without being influenced by the observations made hereinabove.

9. The application stands disposed of.

[ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.]

SSC/