



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

4 CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 13 OF 2025

KISHOR DHANSING PATIL

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. D. B. Thoke

APP for Respondents : Mr. S. A. Gaikwad

...

**CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI AND
ROHIT W. JOSHI, JJ.**

DATED : 14th JANUARY 2025

PER COURT :-

1. Heard learned Advocate for the applicant. He submitted that there is a compromise between the applicant and respondent No.2 out of Court and for that purpose there is an affidavit of respondent No.2.

2. In fact, we are not happy with the procedure that has been adopted. If there is compromise and there would have been an affidavit, respondent No.2 would have filed it on his own. There is no such practice that applicant should get the affidavit of respondent No.2 and file it on record along with application.

3. With these observations, we issue notice to the respondents. Learned A.P.P. waives service of notice for respondent No.1-State.

Notice of respondent No.2 is made returnable on 13.02.2025.

[ROHIT W. JOSHI]
JUDGE

[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI]
JUDGE

A.G.Narwade