IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

942 ARBITRATION APPEAL NO. 7 OF 2025
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1757 OF 2025
IN ARBA/7/2025
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5849 OF 2025
IN ARBA/7/2025

National Highways Authority Of India Through Its Project Director Shivaji Vasant Pawar

VERSUS

Naresh Hundomal Nagdev And Others

...

- Mr. Chetan B. Choudhari h/f. Mr. S. J. Rahate for the Appellant
- Mr. D. D. Pande, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2

...

AND

943 ARBITRATION APPEAL NO. 8 OF 2025
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5851 OF 2025
IN ARBA/8/2025
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1758 OF 2025
IN ARBA/8/2025

National Highways Authority Of India Through Its Project Director Shivaji Vasant Pawar

VERSUS

Gokul Vitthal Khairnar And Others

...

- Mr. Chetan B. Choudhari h/f. Mr. S. J. Rahate for the Appellant
- Mr. D. D. Pande, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 1 to 4

...

AND

944 ARBITRATION APPEAL NO. 10 OF 2025
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5856 OF 2025
IN ARBA/10/2025
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1760 OF 2025
IN ARBA/10/2025

National Highways Authority Of India Through Its Project Director Shivaji Vasant Pawar
VERSUS
Pravin Nimba Patil And Others

...

- Mr. Chetan B. Choudhari h/f. Mr. S. J. Rahate for the Appellant
- Mr. D. D. Pande, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 1 to 5

...

AND

945 ARBITRATION APPEAL NO. 11 OF 2025
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5858 OF 2025
IN ARBA/11/2025
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1763 OF 2025
IN ARBA/11/2025

National Highways Authority Of India Through Its Project Director Shivaji Vasant Pawar
VERSUS
Rajiv Mohanlal Agrawal And Others

...

- Mr. Chetan B. Choudhari h/f. Mr. S. J. Rahate for the Appellant
- Mr. D. D. Pande, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 1 to 3

...

AND
946 ARBITRATION APPEAL NO. 13 OF 2025
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1767 OF 2025
IN ARBA/13/2025
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5869 OF 2025
IN ARBA/13/2025

National Highways Authority Of India Through Its Project Director Shivaji Vasant Pawar
VERSUS
Sandeep Mansukhlal Shah And Others

...

- Mr. Chetan B. Choudhari h/f. Mr. S. J. Rahate for the Appellant
- Mr. D. D. Pande, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 1 to 3

...

AND

947 ARBITRATION APPEAL NO. 14 OF 2025
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5855 OF 2025
IN ARBA/14/2025
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1768 OF 2025
IN ARBA/14/2025

National Highways Authority Of India Through Its Project Director Shivaji Vasant Pawar
VERSUS
Dhondu Harchand Bhil (dead)
Through Its Lrs Kashinath Dhondu Bhil And Others

...

- Mr. Chetan B. Choudhari h/f. Mr. S. J. Rahate for the Appellant
- Mr. D. D. Pande, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 1 to 6

…

AND

948 ARBITRATION APPEAL NO. 15 OF 2025
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5853 OF 2025
IN ARBA/15/2025
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2318 OF 2025
IN ARBA/15/2025

National Highways Authority Of India Through Its Project Director Shivaji Vasant Pawar

VERSUS

Ramakant Narayan Agrwal

...

- Mr. Chetan B. Choudhari h/f. Mr. S. J. Rahate for the Appellant
- Mr. D. D. Pande, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2

...

CORAM : ROHIT W. JOSHI, J.

DATED : 9th JULY 2025

PER COURT :-

1. Not on board. Upon mentioning, taken on board.

2. A motion is made for speaking to the minutes with respect to order dated 04.07.2025.

3. The learned Counsel for the respondents-land owners states that while dictating the order dated 04.07.2025 this Court has passed the order thereby permitting the land owners to amend the Execution Petition in view of the fact that the learned Executing Court was not entertaining the application in view of stay to the execution proceedings granted by this Court. However, the same is not reflected in the order dated 04.07.2025.

4. In view of the above, the following paragraphs be added after paragraph no.2 onwards in the order dated 04.07.2025 :-

> *"3. The learned Counsel for respondent-land owners states that in view of stay to the execution proceedings granted by this Court, the learned Executing Court is not entertaining the application for amendment of Execution Petition.*
>
> *4. Learned Executing Court is directed to accept the application for amendment of Execution Petition and decide the same on merits in accordance with law.*
>
> *5. The interim order will not be an impediment for decision of the application for amendment."*

5. Corrected copy of order dated 04.07.2025 be uploaded on the website.

6. The motion stands disposed of accordingly.

[ROHIT W. JOSHI, J.]