



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**927 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5455 OF 2025
IN WPST/26067/2024**

**THE PARBHANI DISTRICT CENTRAL CO OPERATIVE BANK LTD THROUGH
ITS AUTHORIZED SIGNATORY**

VERSUS

**VISHNU GOVINDRAO GHUGE DIED THROUGH LRS VATSLABAI VISHNU
GHUGE**

.....

**928 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5456 OF 2025
IN WPST/26068/2024**

**THE PARBHANI DISTRICT CENTRAL CO OPERATIVE BANK LTD THROUGH
ITS AUTHORIZED SIGNATORY**

VERSUS

TRIMBAK KISHANRAO JOGDAND

.....

**929 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5458 OF 2025
IN WPST/26066/2024**

**THE PARBHANI DISTRICT CENTRAL CO OPERATIVE BANK LTD THROUGH
ITS AUTHORIZED SIGNATORY**

VERSUS

RAOSAHEB MALHARI KUTE

.....

**930 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5464 OF 2025
IN WPST/26056/2024**

THE PARBHANI DISTRICT CENTRAL CO OPERATIVE BANK LTD THROUGH
ITS AUTHORIZED SIGNATORY
VERSUS
RANGNATH BAJIRAO KADAM

.....

**931 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5465 OF 2025
IN WPST/26065/2024**

THE PARBHANI DISTRICT CENTRAL CO OPERATIVE BANK LTD THROUGH
ITS AUTHORIZED SIGNATORY
VERSUS
RAMCHANDRA HIRAMAN THORAT

.....

**932 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5466 OF 2025
IN WPST/26054/2024**

THE PARBHANI DISTRICT CENTRAL CO OPERATIVE BANK LTD THROUGH
ITS AUTHORIZED SIGNATORY
VERSUS
ATMARAM RAMJI BHALERAO

.....

**933 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5468 OF 2025
IN WPST/26059/2024**

THE PARBHANI DISTRICT CENTRAL CO OPERATIVE BANK LTD THROUGH
ITS AUTHORIZED SIGNATORY
VERSUS
VIJAYKUMAR JANARDHAN WAGHMARE

.....

**934 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5469 OF 2025
IN WPST/26055/2024**

THE PARBHANI DISTRICT CENTRAL CO OPERATIVE BANK LTD THROUGH
ITS AUTHORIZED SIGNATORY

VERSUS
SHRIRANG RANBA KANTHALE

.....
Mr. R. B. Muley, Advocate for the applicant

CORAM : R. M. JOSHI, J.

DATE : 8th MAY, 2025

PER COURT :-

1. These applications are for restoration of the Writ Petitions which stood dismissed for non removal of office objections.
2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that since no notices were issued to the respondents in Writ Petitions, notices of these applications be dispensed with.
3. Since the petitions are dismissed for want of removal office objections and at that stage, no notices were issued to the respondents, notices to respondents stand dispensed with in these applications.
4. Perused the applications. Sufficient reasons are mentioned for not preferring the applications for restoration of these petitions in time. Hence, applications are allowed in terms of prayer clauses 'B' and 'C'.
5. Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes to remove

office objections within a period of six weeks.

6. If office objections are not removed within stipulated period, petitions shall stand dismissed without reference to the Court.

(R. M. JOSHI, J.)

ssp