



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

904 ARBITRATION APPEAL NO. 24 OF 2025
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2146 OF 2025
IN ARBA/24/2025

NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA THROUGH ITS PROJECT
DIRECTOR SHIVAJI VASANT PAWAR

VERSUS

NAWAB MEHBUB KHATIK AND ANOTHER

911 ARBITRATION APPEAL NO. 7 OF 2025

NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA THROUGH ITS PROJECT
DIRECTOR SHIVAJI VASANT PAWAR

VERSUS

NARESH HUNDOMAL NAGDEV AND OTHERS

...

WITH CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1760 OF 2025
IN ARBA/10/2025

WITH ARBITRATION APPEAL NO. 14 OF 2025
WITH ARBITRATION APPEAL NO. 15 OF 2025
WITH CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1767 OF 2025
IN ARBA/13/2025

WITH ARBITRATION APPEAL NO. 13 OF 2025
WITH CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1759 OF 2025
IN ARBA/9/2025

WITH CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1768 OF 2025
IN ARBA/14/2025

WITH ARBITRATION APPEAL NO. 9 OF 2025
WITH ARBITRATION APPEAL NO. 10 OF 2025
WITH CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1763 OF 2025
IN ARBA/11/2025

WITH CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2318 OF 2025
IN ARBA/15/2025

WITH CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1758 OF 2025
IN ARBA/8/2025

WITH ARBITRATION APPEAL NO. 11 OF 2025
WITH CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5858 OF 2025
IN ARBA/11/2025

WITH ARBITRATION APPEAL NO. 8 OF 2025
WITH CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1757 OF 2025
IN ARBA/7/2025
WITH CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5856 OF 2025
IN ARBA/10/2025
WITH CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5853 OF 2025
IN ARBA/15/2025
WITH CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5855 OF 2025
IN ARBA/14/2025
WITH CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5849 OF 2025
IN ARBA/7/2025
WITH CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5869 OF 2025
IN ARBA/13/2025
WITH CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5851 OF 2025
IN ARBA/8/2025

--

932 ARBITRATION APPEAL NO. 12 OF 2025
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1764 OF 2025
IN ARBA/12/2025

NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA THROUGH ITS PROJECT
DIRECTOR SHIVAJI VASANT PAWAR
VERSUS
SAMARTH SAHAKARI PATHPEDHI MARYADA, DHULE AND ORS.

Mr. S. J. Rahate, Advocate for the Appellant
Mr. Mr. Mukul Kulkarni h/f Mr. D. D. Pande, Advocate for Respondent
Nos.1 and 2 in ARBA Nos. 7/2025 and 12/2025

CORAM : R. M. JOSHI, J.

DATE : 8th OCTOBER, 2025

P.C. :-

1. Learned Counsel for the Appellant seeks times to file reply.
2. Learned Counsel for the Respondents submits that the Application for withdrawal of the amount deserves to be allowed in view of the fact that in respect of the same acquisition, and orders passed in

all other cases. Thus it is his submission that thus there is no substance in opposition for withdrawal of the amount.

3. In the interest of justice, time granted. It is clarified that, if no reply is filed on the next date of hearing, Application shall be heard and decided on merit.

4. Stand over to 17th October, 2025 in same category.

(R. M. JOSHI, J.)

ssp