



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

30 SECOND APPEAL NO. 229 OF 2025

**SITARAM TUKARAM WAGH
VERSUS
NANASAHEB RAJDHAR MORE**

.....
WITH

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 6236 OF 2025
IN SA/229/2025**

.....
Advocate for the Appellant : Mr.Shivaji T. Shelke
Advocate for Respondent/Sole :Mr.Joslyn Menzes

...
CORAM : SHAILESH P. BRAHME, J.
DATE : 23rd JUNE 2025

PER COURT :

- . Second Appeal is dismissed.
2. Reasons to follow.
3. After pronouncement of the operative part of the order, learned counsel for the appellant prays stay to the operation and execution of decree passed by the trial court.
4. It is contended that there was such a stay when matter was before the lower appellate court. Learned counsel Mr.Menzes for the respondent opposes the request and submission. It is contended that the respondent deposited balance amount as per the decree passed by the trial court. Respondent is waiting for possession and execution

of sale deed since long.

5. There was interim stay when matter was before lower appellate court. Interest of justice would be subserved by granting stay to operation and execution of judgment and decree of the trial court for further period six (06) weeks from today.

6. After expiration of the said period, stay granted shall automatically stand vacated without reference to the Court.

[SHAILESH P BRAHME, J.]

vsj..