



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

57 WRIT PETITION NO. 9101 OF 2025

JANARDHAN BAJIRAO DARADE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Mr. Sambhaji G. Munde – Advocate for Petitioner
Mr. S.V. Hange – AGP for Respondent Nos.1 and 2, State
Ms. Vaishali A. Shinde (More) – Advocate for Respondent Nos.3 and 4
...

CORAM : MANISH PITALE &
Y. G. KHOBRAGADE, JJ.
DATE : 18.08.2025

PER COURT :

1. Considering the contentions raised on behalf of the petitioner, it would be appropriate that an affidavit is filed on behalf of respondent Nos.3 and 4, *inter alia*, clarifying whether the software utilised for deciding the transfers of employees provides an opportunity to make corrections in the form filled by the employee and, if so, setting out the details of such opportunity available under the software program.
2. This is in the backdrop of the fact that the form filled by the petitioner himself shows that the column pertaining to whether the petitioner was suspended has been answered in the affirmative, while the petitioner claims that he was never suspended.

3. The affidavit shall be filed within two weeks from today.
4. List the petition for further consideration on 04.09.2025, in urgent orders category.

(Y. G. KHOBRADE, J.)

(MANISH PITALE, J.)

Pooja Kale/