



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

940 WRIT PETITION NO. 6404 OF 2025

RAJENDRA SHRAVAN GADE

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY AND OTHERS

...

Mr. Thombre S.s., Advocate for the Petitioner

Mr. S. K. Tambe, Addl. GP for Respondents

Senior Advocate Mr. V. D. Hon i/b Mr. Hon Ashwin Vinayak,
Advocate for Respondent No.3

...

AND

941 WRIT PETITION NO. 6405 OF 2025

SUHAS SAHEBRAO DHAVANE

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY AND OTHERS

...

Mr. Thombre S.s., Advocate for the Petitioner

Mr. S. K. Tambe, Addl. GP for Respondents

Senior Advocate Mr. V. D. Hon i/b Mr. Hon Ashwin Vinayak,
Advocate for Respondent No.3

...

AND

942 WRIT PETITION NO. 6406 OF 2025

VAISHALI SURYABHAN JADHAV ALIAS VAISHALI ASHOK GULVE

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY AND OTHERS

...

Mr. Thombre S.s., Advocate for the Petitioner

Mr. S. K. Tambe, Addl. GP for Respondents

Senior Advocate Mr. V. D. Hon i/b Mr. Hon Ashwin Vinayak,
Advocate for Respondent No.3

...

AND

948 WRIT PETITION NO. 6414 OF 2025

VIJAY KISAN BORGE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY AND OTHERS

...

Mr. Thombre S.s., Advocate for the Petitioner
Mr. A. S. Shinde, Addl.GP for Respondents
Senior Advocate Mr. V. D. Hon i/b Mr. Hon Ashwin Vinayak,
Advocate for Respondent No.3

...

AND

950 WRIT PETITION NO. 6416 OF 2025

SAMBHAJI VITTHAL GAIKAR
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY AND OTHERS

...

Mr. Thombre S.s., Advocate for the Petitioner
Mr. P. S. Patil, Addl.GP for Respondents
Senior Advocate Mr. V. D. Hon i/b Mr. Hon Ashwin Vinayak,
Advocate for Respondent No.3

...

AND

951 WRIT PETITION NO. 6417 OF 2025

RAJARAM JAGANNATH DHAWALE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY AND OTHERS

...

Mr. Thombre S.s., Advocate for the Petitioner
Mr. D. R. Korade, AGP for Respondents
Senior Advocate Mr. V. D. Hon i/b Mr. Hon Ashwin Vinayak,
Advocate for Respondent No.3

...

953 WRIT PETITION NO. 6419 OF 2025

SAKHARAM PUNJA LABADE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY AND OTHERS

...

Mr. Thombre S.s., Advocate for the Petitioner
Ms. R. R. Tandle, AGP for Respondents
Senior Advocate Mr. V. D. Hon i/b Mr. Hon Ashwin Vinayak,
Advocate for Respondent No.3

...

AND

954 WRIT PETITION NO. 6420 OF 2025

SUBHASH UMAJI TAMBE

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY AND OTHERS

...

Mr. Thombre S.s., Advocate for the Petitioner
Mr. S. K. Tambe, Addl. GP for Respondents
Senior Advocate Mr. V. D. Hon i/b Mr. Hon Ashwin Vinayak,
Advocate for Respondent No.3

...

AND

955 WRIT PETITION NO. 6421 OF 2025

PARASRAM KACHRU MATE

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY AND OTHERS

...

Mr. Thombre S.s., Advocate for the Petitioner
Mr. P. S. Patil, Addl. GP for Respondents
Senior Advocate Mr. V. D. Hon i/b Mr. Hon Ashwin Vinayak,
Advocate for Respondent No.3

...

AND

956 WRIT PETITION NO. 6422 OF 2025

ASHOK RADHAKRISHNA PHANASE

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY AND OTHERS

...

Mr. Thombre S.s., Advocate for the Petitioner
Mr. A. S. Shinde, Addl. GP for Respondents
Senior Advocate Mr. V. D. Hon i/b Mr. Hon Ashwin Vinayak,
Advocate for Respondent No.3

...

AND
957 WRIT PETITION NO. 6423 OF 2025

ANIL BHANUDAS POTE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY AND OTHERS

...

Mr. Thombre S.s., Advocate for the Petitioner
Mr. S. R. Yadav – Lonikar, AGP for Respondents
Senior Advocate Mr. V. D. Hon i/b Mr. Hon Ashwin Vinayak,
Advocate for Respondent No.3

...

CORAM : R. G. AVACHAT AND
SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, JJ.

DATED : 08/05/2025.

P. C. :

1. These petitions have been filed for direction to respondent No.2 to decide the petitioners' representations within reasonable time.
2. Learned Senior Counsel Mr. V. D. Hon for the management submits that if the proposals were not found in place, copy thereof would be submitted forthwith. He also informs that similar proposals from others, who have not approached this court, are also pending before respondent No.2.
3. The learned AGP informs that the representations date back to the COVID period and if any of them is not traceable, the petitioners have either to place on record copy thereof or make a

fresh proposal / representation. He further makes statement that the same would be decided on its own merit within 60 days.

4. In view of above, respondent No.2 shall decide the petitioners' representations, if available and if not, procure copy thereof or get fresh representations within a period of three weeks from the date of this order and decide all those representations within a period of next three weeks on their own merit.

5. The respondent No.2 shall decide the proposals of similarly placed teachers, whose proposals have already been received or made a fresh, within a period of two months from today.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)

(R. G. AVACHAT, J.)