



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

68 WRIT PETITION NO. 5546 OF 2025

VINOD NAMDEV MEDHE

VERSUS

**THE UNION OF INDIA THROUGH THE MINISTRY OF RAILWAY
AND OTHERS**

....

Mr. G. V. Wani, Advocate for the Petitioner

Ms Sudha S. Chintamani, Standing Counsel for Respondent Nos.
1 and 2 Union of India

Ms S. S. Joshi, AGP for the Respondent – State

Mr. V. V. Gujar, Advocate for Respondent No.8

....

**CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL &
Y. G. KHOBRADE, JJ.**

DATE : 28.04.2025

PER COURT :-

. Learned advocate for the petitioner, on instructions,
seeks leave to not press prayer clause (C) with liberty to resort to
the appropriate direction at an appropriate stage to question the
land acquisition process before the appropriate forum.

2. Learned advocate submits that he would simply solicit
the direction as prayed for in prayer clause (B).

3. The writ petition is disposed of directing respondent No.4 District Collector, Jalgaon to consider the petitioner's grievance in the form of complaint application dated 04.12.2023 (Exhibit-N) on its own merits as expeditiously as possible and in any case within 12 weeks, if necessary by extending an opportunity of hearing.

4. The writ petition to the extent of prayer clause (C) is disposed of as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

[Y. G. KHOBRADE, J.]

[MANGESH S. PATIL, J.]

SMS