



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

66 CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.2276 OF 2024

SHAIKH ABDUL RASHID ABDUL KADIR AND OTHERS

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

...

Mr. N.S. Ghanekar, Advocate for applicants - **absent**

Mr. N.R. Dayama, APP for respondent No.1

Mr. D.D. Pande, Advocate for respondent No.2

...

CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI &
HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR, JJ.

DATE : 22nd SEPTEMBER, 2025

ORDER :

. Learned Advocate for applicants is absent. If he remains absent on next date i.e. on 19.11.2025, application is liable to be dismissed.

2 Learned APP informs that cognizance of the matter has been taken by the trial Court.

3 Though learned Advocate for applicants is absent, leave is granted to amend, in view of **Pradnya Pranjal Kulkarni vs. The State of**

Maharashtra and another in Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Cri.)

No.13424 of 2025 decided by the Hon'ble Supreme Court on 03.09.2025.

4 Amendment to be carried out within a period of two weeks.

5 Stand over to 19.11.2025.

(HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR, J.)

(SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI, J.)

agd