



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 13127 OF 2024
IN SA/441/1993**

**LAXMAN KHUSHABA MANDLIK L.RS. GANPAT L.RS. MARUTI
L.RS. PUSHPABAI AND OTHERS.**

VERSUS

**SHANKAR MARUTI MANDLIK L.RS. MARUTI L.RS. NAVNATH
L.RS. BAPUSAHEB AND ANOTHER**

...

Mr. Vinayak Sudhakar Bedre, Advocate for Applicants.

Mrs. Sunita G. Sonawane, Advocate for Respondent Nos.2a and 2b.

...

**CORAM : S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR, J.
DATED : 04th APRIL, 2025.**

P.C.:-

1. Heard Mr. Bedre, learned Advocate appearing for applicants.
2. Perused application and reasons stated therein.
3. In spite of service of notice of this application, legal representative of respondent no.2 failed to cause appearance or contest present civil application.
4. In that view of the matter, considering submissions advanced and reasons stated, Civil Application is allowed in terms of prayer Clauses (B), (C) and (D).
5. Amendment to be carried out within period of two weeks from today.

**(S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR)
JUDGE**