



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

922 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3896 OF 2024
IN FAST/194/2024

CHANDRAPRAKASH NANABHAU SOMMARE
VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA
THROUGH THE COLLECTOR JALNA AND ORS.

AND

923 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4126 OF 2024
IN FAST/6930/2024

SAYYAD ISMAIL SAYYAD NADAN
VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA, THROUGH COLLECTOR , JALNA

...

924 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4195 OF 2024
IN FAST/3181/2024

CHANDRAPRAKASH TUKARAM CHINCHANE AND ANR
VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH THE COLLECTOR,
COLLECTOR COLLECT ORS OFFICE JALNA AND ORS

...

925 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4806 OF 2024
IN FAST/6944/2024

SAYYAD ASHRAF SAYYAD CHANDUMIYA
VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA, THROUGH COLLECTOR , JALNA

...

926 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5250 OF 2024
IN FAST/6941/2024

MUSSTAFSA SAYYED CHANDU
VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA,
THROUGH COLLECTOR , JALNA

...

927 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5259 OF 2024
IN FAST/6946/2024
SAYYAD NADAN SAYYAD CHANDUMIYA
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA
THROUGH THE COLLECTOR JALNA AND ORS.

...

929 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 14069 OF 2024
IN FAST/29903/2024
SAYYAD IBRAHIM SAYYAD NADAN
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA
THROUGH COLLECTOR, JALNA AND ORS

...

Advocate for Applicant in all matters : Mr. Kakde Shubham
 Madhavrao

AGP for Respondents/State in CA-3896/2024, CA-4195/2024,
 CA-5250/2024 : Mr. B.A. Shinde

AGP for Respondents/State in CA-4126/2024, CA-4806/2024,
 CA-5259/2024, CA-14069/2024 : Mr. D.B. Bhange

...

CORAM : SHAILESH P. BRAHME, J.
DATE : 10th JUNE 2025

PER COURT :

1. Applications for the condonation of delay can be dealt with by common order. Following chart is tendered by the Applicants to disclose relevant details :

Sr. No.	Particulars	CA No.	No of days delay	Date of Filing FA	Date of 1 st order in Delay CA	Decision date of Reference Court.
922	Chandraprakash Vs State of Maharashtra and Ors.	CA/3896/2024	1555	02/01/2024	18/03/2025	21/06/2019
923	Sayyad Ismail Vs State of Maharashtra and Ors.	CA/4126/2024	1460	04/03/2024	18/03/2025	29/11/2019

924	Chandraprakash Vs State of Maharashtra and Ors.	Ca/4195/2024	1997	29/01/2024	18/03/2025	08/05/2018
925	Sayyad Ashraf Vs State of Maharashtra and Ors.	CA/4806/2024	1814	04/03/2024	18/03/2025	10/12/2018
926	Mustafa Sayyed Vs State of Maharashtra and Ors.	CA/5250/2024	1956	04/03/2024	18/03/2025	29/07/2018
927	Sayyad Nandan Vs State of Maharashtra and Ors.	CA/5259/2024	1908	04/03/2024	18/03/2025	07/09/2018
929	Sayyad Ibrahim Vs State of Maharashtra and Ors.	CA/14069/2024	1852	21/10/2024	18/03/2025	25/06/2019

2. The length of delay in each matter is different. The applications for condonation of delay were taken up for the orders with sufficient promptitude which is evident from the first order passed in applications. No lapses can be inferred on part of the Applicants.

3. Learned Counsel for the Applicants submits that the averments of Paragraph Nos. 2 to 9 would constitute explanation for condonation of delay. It is submitted that there are no malafides in preferring appeals belatedly. It is further submitted that the Applicants are ready to forgo interest and statutory benefits for the delayed period.

4. Learned AGP would oppose the applications. It is contended that there is no cogent explanation and no case is made out for condonation of delay.

5. I have gone through the reasons given in the applications for condonation of delay. Applicants are deprived of the agricultural lands and just and reasonable compensation for the same. There is no reason to infer any malafide on part of the Applicants. I am not pointed out any circumstances to discard the explanation for preferring appeals belatedly. I propose to adopt the pragmatic approach by condoning delay on certain conditions.

6. Applicants are ready to forgo interest and statutory benefits for the delayed period. In that view of the matter, a case is made out to condone the delay.

7. Civil Applications are allowed in terms of prayer clause 'A' on condition that Applicants shall not be entitled to interest as well statutory benefits for the delayed period.

8. Office shall register the First Appeals.

SHAILESH P BRAHME
JUDGE