



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

925 WRIT PETITION NO. 9558 OF 2024

Shaikh Intekhab Aalam Mohammad Rafique ... Petitioner

VERSUS

1) The State of Maharashtra and others ... Respondents

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Syed Azizoddin R.

A.G.P for Respondent nos. 1, 3 and 4 : Mr. V.M. Kagne

Advocate for Respondent No. 2 : Mr. Nikam Anup R.

Advocate for Respondent nos. 5 and 6 : Mr. T.M. Shaikh h/f Mr. V. V. Gujar

...

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 9615 OF 2024

Shaikh Mustaqeem Shaikh Haqeen ... Petitioner

Versus

1) The State of Maharashtra and others ... Respondents

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Syed Azizoddin R.

A.G.P for Respondent nos. 1, 3 and 4 : Mr. V.M. Kagne

Advocate for Respondent No. 2 : Mr. Nikam Anup R.

Advocate for Respondent nos. 5 and 6 : Mr. T.M. Shaikh h/f Mr. V. V. Gujar

...

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 9610 OF 2024

Mohammad Navaid Abdul Saeed ... Petitioner

Versus

1) The State of Maharashtra and others ... Respondents

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Syed Azizoddin R.

A.G.P for Respondent nos. 1, 3 and 4 : Mr. V.M. Kagne

Advocate for Respondent No. 2 : Mr. Nikam Anup R.

Advocate for Respondent nos. 5 and 6 : Mr. T.M. Shaikh h/f Mr. V. V. Gujar

...

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 6582 OF 2024

**WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3995 OF 2025 IN WP 6582/2024**

Amol Dattu Deshmane ... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others ... Respondents

...
Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. S.B. Solanke h/f Mr. Thombre S.S.
A.G.P. for Respondent nos. 1 to 3 : Mr. V.M. Kagne
Advocate for Respondent nos. 4 and 5 : Mr. U.B. Bondar

**...
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 6814 OF 2024
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3002 OF 2025 IN WP 6814/2024**

Rupali Mahadeo Deshmane ... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others ... Respondents

...
Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. S.B. Solanke h/f Mr. Thombre S.S.
A.G.P. for Respondent nos. 1 to 3 : Mr. V.M. Kagne
Advocate for Respondent nos. 4 and 5 : Mr. B.B. Bhise

**...
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 10733 OF 2024**

Shaikh Asif Shaikh Qasam ... Petitioner

Versus

1) The State of Maharashtra and others ... Respondents

...
Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Syed Azizoddin R.
A.G.P. for Respondent nos. 1, 3 and 4 : Mr. V.M. Kagne
Advocate for Respondent No. 2 : Mr. Nikam Anup R.
Advocate for Respondent nos. 5 and 6 : Mr. T.M. Shaikh h/f Mr. V. V. Gujar

**...
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 6586 OF 2024
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2689 OF 2025 IN WP/6586/2024**

Sandip Rangnath Bangale ... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others ... Respondents

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. S.B. Solanke h/f Mr. Thombre S.S.

A.G.P. for Respondent nos. 1 to 3 : Mr. V.M. Kagne

Advocate for Respondent nos. 4 and 5 : Mr. U.B. Bondar

...

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 8197 OF 2024

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1941 OF 2025 IN WP/8197/2024

Sayyed Roman Sayyed Ahmad ... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others ... Respondents

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. S.B. Solanke

A.G.P. for Respondent no. 1 : Mr. V.M. Kagne

Advocate for Respondent no. 2 : Mr. A.R. Nikam

Advocate for Respondent nos. 3 and 4 : Mr. T.M. Shaikh h/f Mr. V.V. Gujar

...

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 8918 OF 2024

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1942 OF 2025 IN WP/8918/2024

Nazia Salar Shaikh ... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others ... Respondents

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. S.B. Solanke

A.G.P. for Respondent no. 1 : Mr. V.M. Kagne

Advocate for Respondent no. 2 : Mr. A.R. Nikam

Advocate for Respondent nos. 3 and 4 : Ms. Manjushri V. Narwade

...

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 3636 OF 2025

Nasim Bi Syed Mobin ... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others ... Respondents

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Sayyed Tauseef Yaseen

A.G.P for Respondent nos. 1 and 5 : Mr. V.M. Kagne

Advocate for Respondent no. 2 : Mr. A.R. Nikam

Advocate for Respondent nos. 3 and 4 : Mr. T.M. Shaikh h/f Mr. V.V. Gujar

...

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 3637 OF 2025

Sana Samreen Abdul Rauf ... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others ... Respondents

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Sayyed Tauseef Yaseen

A.G.P for Respondent nos. 1 and 5 : Mr. V.M. Kagne

Advocate for Respondent no. 2 : Mr. A.R. Nikam

Advocate for Respondent nos. 3 and 4 : Mr. T.M. Shaikh h/f Mr. V.V. Gujar

...

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 3859 OF 2025

Uzma Shaheen Sadeque Ahmed Khan ... Petitioner

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra and others ... Respondents

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Solanke Shrikrashna B.

A.G.P for Respondent nos. 1, 2 and 6 : Mr. A.V. Lavte

Advocate for Respondent no. 3 : Mr. A.R. Nikam

Advocate for Respondent nos. 4 and 5 : Mr. S.R.Dhelpe

...

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 8296 OF 2024

Shahid Nadim Abdul Qayyum ... Petitioner

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra and others ... Respondents

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Syed Azizoddin R.
 A.G.P. for Respondent nos. 1 to 4 : Mr. V.M. Kagne
 Advocate for Respondent nos. 5 and 6 : Mr. T.M. Shaikh h/f Mr. V.V. Gujar

...

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 11430 OF 2024

- 1) Shaikh Malik Shaikh Ejaz
- 2) Nahed Akhtar Shaikh Yunus ... Petitioners

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra and others ... Respondents

...

Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. Deshmukh Sachin S.
 A.G.P. for Respondent no. 1 : Mr. V.M. Kagne
 Advocate for Respondent no. 2 : Mr. A.R. Nikam
 Advocate for Respondent nos. 3 and 4 : Mr. T.M. Shaikh h/f Mr. V.V. Gujar

...

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 8228 OF 2024

- 1) Momin Mohammad Jahangeer
Abdul Gafoor Quazi
- 2) Mohammad Muneeb Mohammad
Najeeb ... Petitioners

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra and others ... Respondents

...

Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. Deshmukh Sachin S.
 A.G.P. for Respondent no. 1 : Mr. V.M. Kagne
 Advocate for Respondent no. 2 : Mr. A.R. Nikam
 Advocate for Respondent nos. 3 and 4 : Mr. T.M. Shaikh h/f Mr. V.V. Gujar

...

**CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL &
Y. G. KHOBRAGADE, JJ.**

DATE : 25.04.2025

PER COURT :

Writ Petition Nos. 8296/2024, 11430/2024, 8228/2024, are not on

board, taken on board, on a request.

2. We have heard the learned advocates for the petitioners in all these petitions as also the learned A.G.Ps.

3. The petitioners, who had appeared at either Teacher Eligibility Test (TET) conducted in the year 2018 or the TET conducted in the year 2019, are awaiting participation in selection process through Maharashtra Teacher Aptitude and Intelligence Test (MAHA TAIT) but are stuck up because of the alleged scams at these examinations. The crimes were registered and charge-sheets are stated to have been filed. Considering the scheme of Pavitra Portal, in the matter of ***Hina Kausar Mohammad Riyaz Vs. The State of Maharashtra and others (Writ Petition No. 8534/2023 and connected matters) decided on 14.09.2023***, by an elaborate judgment, this Court had dealt with the aspect and considering the fact that the scam was in respect of TET examination and considering the fact that under the provisions of Right of Children to Free and Compulsory Education Act, 2009, even *Central Teacher Eligibility Test (CTET)* and D.Ed. + graduation are the eligibility criteria for appearing at the TAIT, directions were issued to consider the cases of each of such petitioners for participation in the selection process through *Pavitra Portal* even ignoring their performance at TET, wherein the scams had occurred, if necessary by resorting to verification through the Cyber Cell.

4. In several similar matters, subsequently this Court has been issuing similar directions for considering cases of each of petitioners on verification and further directing the authorities to allow them to participate in the selection processes through *Pavitra Portal*.

5. Following the course, we dispose of all these petitions directing the respondents to consider cases of each of the petitioners on their own merits independently, considering their participation if any in the alleged scam what else and with a specific incorporation, if at all, they are to be

appointed to mention in the orders of the appointment a stipulation that their such appointment would depend upon the final result of the investigation of the crime and the result of the trial pursuant thereto, on furnishing their undertakings before the concerned Chief Executive Officers of the Zilla Parishad on the aforementioned lines. The decision shall be taken and appointment order shall be issued to the eligible petitioners as expeditiously as possible and in any case, within six weeks.

6. Pending Civil Applications are disposed of.

(Y. G. KHOBRADE, J.)

(MANGESH S. PATIL, J.)

mkd/-