



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

911 WRIT PETITION NO. 3760 OF 2024

**SUDHAKAR GOPINATH TAK
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH COLLECTOR NANDED AND
OTHERS**

.....

Mr. D. R. Markad h/f Mr. R. B. Narwade Patil, Advocate for the petitioner
Mrs. M. N. Ghanekar, AGP for the respondent/State

912 WRIT PETITION NO. 3761 OF 2024

**SUDHAKAR GOPINATH TAK
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH COLLECTOR NANDED AND
OTHERS**

Mr. D. R. Markad h/f Mr. R. B. Narwade Patil, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. N. D. Raje, AGP for the respondent/State

**CORAM : R. M. JOSHI, J.
DATE : 2nd MAY, 2025**

PER COURT :-

1. During the course of hearing, dispute is made by the petitioner with regard to the observation made in the impugned order about hearing being given to the Petitioner.
2. Learned AGP filed affidavit-in-reply in Writ Petition No. 3761/2024 to claim that impugned order has been passed after hearing the petitioner in both petitions.

3. Since there is a dispute about as to whether the petitioners were given possibility of hearing and the impugned order is passed only after they being heard, learned AGP to place on record original file for the perusal of the Court.

4. Stand over to 23rd June, 2025.

(R. M. JOSHI, J.)

ssp