



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 144 OF 2020
IN WP/2783/2014

DNYANOBA BABU SARUK AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for the Petitioners : Mr. S. L. Bhapkar
AGP for Respondents-State : Mr. M. S. Tekale
...

CORAM : NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI, J. AND
MANJUSHA DESHPANDE, J.

Dated : May 8, 2025

PER COURT :-

1. Learned AGP has filed affidavit-in-reply and stated that award is declared and compensation is paid to the petitioners.
2. Learned Advocate for the petitioners makes a grievance that though award is declared, it is not made clear by the respondents as to when the award is declared. He submits that even the copy of the award is not served on the petitioners.
3. Learned AGP, on instructions, states that, copy of the award was served on the Lawyer representing the petitioners in the Reference Court. When called upon, he is not in a position to justify the said stand by showing the acknowledgment of the Lawyer representing the petitioner having acknowledged the receipt of the

copy of the order.

4. In the light of above, we direct the petitioners to approach respondent No. 3 within a period of one week from today. On petitioners' approach, respondent No. 3 shall serve the copy of the award on the petitioners and obtain acknowledgment. Learned Advocate for the petitioners confirms that as per provisional award, he has received the compensation.

5. In the light of above, contempt petition is disposed of.

(MANJUSHA DESHPANDE, J.) (NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI, J.)

Omkar Joshi