



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

992 WRIT PETITION NO. 15663 OF 2023

MADHAV VISHWANATH PAREKAR

VERSUS

MAHARASHTRA STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION THROUGH
DIVISIONAL CONTROLLER

...

993 WRIT PETITION NO. 15664 OF 2023

MRS. RAJASHREE SANTOSH BHALERAO

VERSUS

MAHARASHTRA STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION THROUGH
DIVISIONAL CONTROLLER

...

995 WRIT PETITION NO. 15688 OF 2023

DAYANAND NARSINGRAO DEVNE

VERSUS

MAHARASHTRA STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION THROUGH
DIVISIONAL CONTROLLER

...

Mr. Mukund D. Gitte, Advocate for the Petitioner

Mr. A. B. Dhongde, Advocate for Respondents

...

CORAM : SIDDHESHWAR S. THOMBRE, J.

DATE : 19th DECEMBER, 2025

PER COURT :

1. In all these writ petitions petitioners assail the interim orders passed in the complaints filed under Section 28(1) r/w Item 3, 9 and 10 of Schedule IV of the Maharashtra Recognition of Trade Unions and Prevention of Unfair Labour Practices Act, 1971 by the Industrial Court, Latur. Petitioners filed complaints before the Industrial Court challenging their transfer orders and after recording the evidence led by the parties Industrial Court has dismissed the said complaints.

2. Learned counsel for petitioners submits that the main proceedings itself are disposed of. Hence, nothing survives in the writ petitions. Writ petitions are, therefore, disposed of as infructuous.

(SIDDHESHWAR S. THOMBRE, J.)