



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**907 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 13988 OF 2025
IN
FIRST APPEAL STAMP NO. 37800 OF 2025**

The Executive Engineer, Minor
Irrigation Division Jalgaon .. Applicant
Versus
Santosh Rambhau Patil and others .. Respondents

**AND
908 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 13990 OF 2025
IN
FIRST APPEAL STAMP NO. 37805 OF 2025**

The Executive Engineer, Minor
Irrigation Division Jalgaon .. Applicant
Versus
Sanjay Pralhad Patil and others .. Respondents

**909 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 13992 OF 2025
IN
FIRST APPEAL STAMP NO. 37788 OF 2025**

The Executive Engineer, Minor
Irrigation Division Jalgaon .. Applicant
Versus
Keshav Shankar Patil and others .. Respondents

**910 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 13994 OF 2025
IN
FIRST APPEAL STAMP NO. 37809 OF 2025**

The Executive Engineer, Minor
Irrigation Division Jalgaon .. Applicant
Versus
Kadu Maharuru Koli and others .. Respondents

911 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 13996 OF 2025
IN
FIRST APPEAL STAMP NO. 37785 OF 2025

The Executive Engineer, Minor
 Irrigation Division Jalgaon .. Applicant

Versus

Bhagwan Rajaram Patil and others .. Respondents

912 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 13999 OF 2025
IN
FIRST APPEAL STAMP NO. 37796 OF 2025

The Executive Engineer, Minor
 Irrigation Division Jalgaon .. Applicant

Versus

Bhaurao Kautik Choudhary and others .. Respondents

913 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 14004 OF 2025
IN
FIRST APPEAL STAMP NO. 37792 OF 2025

The Executive Engineer, Minor
 Irrigation Division Jalgaon .. Applicant

Versus

Ravindra Manga Patil and others .. Respondents

Shri Shivraj B. Kadu, Advocate for the Applicant in all matters.
 Shri K. M. More and Shri Shubham R. Zalte, Advocate for the
 Respondents – Claimants in all matters.
 Mrs. M. N. Ghanekar, Shri S. V. Hange and Shri R. N. Dayma,
 A.G.P. in respective matters.

CORAM : SHAILESH P. BRAHME, J.
DATE : 19TH DECEMBER, 2025.

FINAL ORDER :

. The applicant – acquiring body is seeking condonation of

delay ranging from 1315 to 1322 days causing in filing the first appeals.

2. Learned counsel appears for the respondent – claimants. Learned A. G. P. appears for the respondent – State and acquiring body in respective matters.

3. The respondents have not raised objection regarding condonation of delay. For the reasons stated in the applications, I find it is desirable to condone the delay. Besides that no mala-fides can be inferred on the part of the acquiring body to prefer appeals belatedly. The delay in all these applications stands condoned. Office is directed to register first appeals. The civil applications are disposed of.

[SHAILESH P. BRAHME J.]

bsb/Dec. 25