



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1902 OF 2024
IN FAST/37893/2023**

**Pandit Govindrao Shelke
VERSUS**

The State Of Maharashtra Through Dist. Collector Office, Nanded And Ors

Ms. Anagha Pandit h/f Mr. K. M. Nagarkar, Advocate for Applicant

CORAM : R. M. JOSHI, J.

DATE : 14th AUGUST, 2025

PER COURT :-

1. In spite of service of notice, respondents have failed to file any reply opposing the application.
2. Heard learned counsel for applicant. It is her submission that the delay of 52 days in preferring the appeal is satisfactorily explained. Hence, application be allowed.
3. Perusal of the application indicates that the delay has been satisfactorily explained. No malafides can be attributable to the applicant for not preferring any appeal in time. Hence, delay of 52 days condoned. Appeal be registered. On registration, issue notice to respondents in First Appeal, returnable on 01.10.2025.
4. AGP waives service of notice on behalf of Respondent Nos. 1 and 2.

(R. M. JOSHI, J.)

bsj